

LEE ONLINE

SYLLABUS

CLDR-410: Developing Local Church Leadership

Date: 06/24/2016

Table of Contents:	
UNIVERSITY MISSION STATEMENT:	3
CATALOG DESCRIPTION:	3
REQUIRED TEXT(S) AND/OR SUPPORTING RESOURCES:	3
PREREQUISITE SKILLS AND KNOWLEDGE:	3
COURSE GOALS AND LEARNING OUTCOMES:	3
MAJOR TOPICS:	4
COURSE ASSESSMENTS:	4
EVALUATION:	5
GRADING SCALE:	5
LETTER GRADE EQUIVALENCIES:	5
UNIT AND TIME DISTRIBUTION:	6
POLICIES	6
ATTENDANCE POLICY:	6
ACADEMIC HONESTY POLICY/INFORMATION:	6
LATE POLICY:	7
EXPECTATIONS	7
FACULTY EXPECTATIONS OF STUDENTS:	7
STUDENTS' EXPECTATIONS OF FACULTY:	8
IMPORTANT STUDENT INFORMATION	8
SPECIAL NEEDS:	8
BIBLIOGRAPHY	8
KNOWLEDGE BASE/WORKING BIBLIOGRAPHY (READING LIST):	8

University Mission Statement:

Lee University is a Christian institution which offers liberal arts and professional education on both the undergraduate and graduate levels through residential and distance programs. It seeks to provide education that integrates biblical truth as revealed in the Holy Scriptures with truth discovered through the study of arts and sciences and in the practice of various professions. A personal commitment to Jesus Christ as Savior is the controlling perspective from which the educational enterprise is carried out. The foundational purpose of all educational programs is to develop within the students knowledge, appreciation, understanding, ability and skills which will prepare them for responsible Christian living in a complex world.

Catalog Description:

This course is an examination of concepts of leadership for ministry settings, with a focus on team building and application. Emphasis is placed on the value of individuals for leadership teams and the process for personal assessments for team building. Coaching and mentoring will be examined as methods of developing local church leadership.

Required Text(s) and/or Supporting Resources:

Required Text:

Maxwell, John. *Developing the Leaders Around You*. Nashville: Thomas Nelson, 1995. [Provided as a link in the course and charged to your Lee account as "Book Bundle" fee.]

Maxwell, John. *The 17 Indisputable Laws of Teamwork*. Nashville: Thomas Nelson, 2001. [Provided as a link in the course and charged to your Lee account as "Book Bundle" fee.]

Additional Supporting Resources:

Resources included in the Learning Management System (LMS).

Prerequisite Skills and Knowledge:

None

Course Goals and Learning Outcomes:

PURPOSE

This course covers essential elements of group design and behavior as well as various appropriate church leadership approaches. The course operates at two levels: theory and practical application in a ministry setting. The textbooks provide the underlying theories for team development, behavior, and team leadership with a Christian worldview. The selected units cover such topics as motivation, leadership, change, and managing culture. A sample of specific group processes that will be explored include: team building, group decision-making, and conflict management in teams. The text material will provide the learner with a solid theoretical foundation and theological framework for mentoring, building, leading, and effectively guiding ministry teams.

General Learning Objectives (Course Goals):

This course seeks to:

 Provide the learner with a solid theoretical foundation and theological framework for mentoring, building, leading, and effectively guiding ministry teams.

Specific Behavioral Objectives (*Learning Outcomes*):

As a result of the activities and study in this course, the student should be able to:

- 1. Define leadership and explain the role it plays in ministry team settings.
- 2. Gain insights into the effect of various leadership styles on others and the overall effectiveness of those styles.
- 3. Understand the role that synergy and creativity play in group problem solving.
- 4. Describe the basic elements and processes of group dynamics.
- 5. Understand the relationships between group problem solving, decision making, group dynamics and inter-group behavior and performance.
- 6. Identify the different ways of grouping people into ministry teams and other types of organizational units.
- 7. Describe several approaches that can be used to foster effective outcomes between ministry teams and leadership groups.
- 8. Explain the relationship between team building and team effectiveness
- 9. Identify the key ministry leadership actions that can foster individual motivation.
- 1. Describe the relationship among organizational learning, change, development, and effectiveness in a ministry organization.

Major Topics:

- A. Personal Leadership Description and Ministry Calling
- B. Introduction to the Concept of Leadership
- C. Leadership and Management in the Ministry Environment
- D. Fundamentals of Team Leadership in the Church
- E. The Personal Leadership Action Plan (PLAP)
- F. Conflict Management Team Performance, Cohesion and Accountability
- G. Leading the High Performance Team

Course Assessments:

- A. **Text/Media.** All text/media is evaluated in the threaded discussions and assignments.
- B. Threaded Discussions. The threaded discussions are an opportunity for students to demonstrate their knowledge of the course material and interact with fellow students. Just doing an initial post and/or response will not guarantee any point value. Discussions will be evaluated as follows: a) on the depth of engagement with the discussion topic and/or issue; b) on the depth of understanding of the topic and/or issue; and c) on the depth of interaction with the other students. An initial post is required (evaluation of "a" and "b" above) and then response posts interacting to other students' initial posts (evaluation of "c" above). Once the discussion deadline is reached, there will be no further opportunity to attain points for that discussion. If you have further questions on how these are evaluated, please contact your instructor.
- C. **Unit Assignments.** You will do a Leadership Reflection Journal for Units 1-6. In this Journal, you should discuss the most important concepts that you learn throughout that unit and how those concepts can be applied to your ministry leadership calling and career. Your journal should exhibit critical reflection of your present leadership journey and possible adjustments that need to be made in light of the information learned in that particular unit.
- D. **Course Project (Plan).** This paper is to be between 7-10 pages in length; double spaced and written in accordance with Turabian (SOR Manual of Style) guidelines. Each component required for this assignment is stated below. You are required to address all six of the

components of the personal leadership action plan. Further, the student is also required to integrate the reading in the form of appropriate citation in order to support the thoughts and ideas that are presented. Further the PLAP is to be written from a Biblical worldview. The final draft of this assignment is due any time during the final week of the course. The purpose of the Personal Leadership Action Plan is to candidly make an objective self-evaluation, take a careful inward look at the leadership abilities, assess the strengths and weaknesses, and envision future direction of leadership for the student.

E. Course Project (Paper). The reflection paper is due by the end of Unit 7 of the course. A reflection paper is simply a paper about 7 to 10 pages in length where you will critically reflect on what you have learned in the course. You do not have to use any citations or show any evidence of research. A reflection paper is not the same as a formal academic paper. It is basically a paper written from the heart sharing what you have learned over the last several weeks

Evaluation:

A.	Threaded Discussions	310
В.	Unit Assignments	240
C.	Course Projects	450

Grading Scale:

The standardized grading scale provides a uniform foundation from which to assess your performance.

Grade	Quality Points per Credit	Score
А	4.0	930 - 1000
A-	3.7	900 - 929
B+	3.3	870 - 899
В	3.0	830 – 869
B-	2.7	800 – 829
C+	2.3	770 – 799
С	2.0	730 – 769
C-	1.7	700 – 729
D+	1.3	670 – 699
D	1.0	600 – 669
F	.0	0 - 599

Letter Grade Equivalencies:

A = Clearly stands out as excellent performance. Has unusually sharp insights into material and initiates thoughtful questions. Sees many sides of an issue. Articulates well and writes logically and clearly. Integrates ideas previously learned from this and other disciplines. Anticipates next steps in progression of ideas. Example "A" work should be of such nature that it could be put on reserve for all

cohort members to review and emulate. The "A" cohort member is, in fact, an example for others to follow.

- **B** = Demonstrates a solid comprehension of the subject matter and always accomplishes all course requirements. Serves as an active participant and listener. Communicates orally and in writing at an acceptable level for a cohort member. Work shows intuition and creativity. Example "B" work indicates good quality of performance and is given in recognition for solid work; a "B" should be considered a good grade and awarded to those who submit assignments of quality less than the exemplary work described above.
- **C** = Quality and quantity of work is average. Has average comprehension, communication skills, or initiative. Requirements of the assignments are addressed at least minimally.
- **D** = Quality and quantity of work is below average. Has marginal comprehension, communication skills, or initiative. Requirements of the assignments are addressed at below acceptable levels.
- **F** = Quality and quantity of work is unacceptable and does not qualify the student to progress to a more advanced level of work.

Unit and Time Distribution:

The time to complete each unit is approximately 14-16 hours per week on average for a three hour course. Actual assignment completion times will vary. A more detailed breakdown of each assignment can be found within the course.

POLICIES

Attendance Policy:

At Lee University student success is directly related to the student actively attending and engaging in the course. Online courses are no different from classroom courses in this regard; however, participation must be defined in a different manner.

Online courses will have weekly mechanisms for student participation, which can be documented by submission/completion of assignments, participation in threaded discussions, and/or specific communication with the instructor as outlined within the syllabus.

Academic Honesty Policy/Information:

Cheating is defined as the use or attempted use of unauthorized materials or receiving unauthorized assistance or communication during any academic exercise.

Examples of cheating include:

- Submitting work for academic evaluation that is not the your own.
- Receiving assistance from another person during an examination.
- Using prepared notes or materials during an examination.
- Permitting another student to copy your work.
- Plagiarism.
- Falsification.
- Other misrepresentations of academic achievement submitted for evaluation or a grade.

As stated in the LEE UNIVERSITY Catalog, plagiarism is presenting as your own work the words, ideas, opinions, theories, or thoughts which are not common knowledge. Students who present others' words or ideas as their own without fair attribution (documentation) are guilty of plagiarizing. Unfair attribution includes, but is not limited to, a direct quotation of all or part of another's words without appropriately identifying the source. It is also unfair attribution to have included a source within a Works Cited page without having carefully cited the source within the text of the document. Plagiarism also includes, but is not limited to, the following acts when performed without fair attribution:

- a. directly quoting all or part of another person's words without quotation marks, as appropriate to the discipline.
- b. paraphrasing all or part of another person's words without documentation.
- c. stating an idea, theory, or formula as your own when it actually originated with another person.
- d. purchasing (or receiving in any other manner) a term paper or other assignment, which is the work of another person, and submitting that work as if it were one's own.

Late Policy:

- No credit is available for postings of any kind made in the Threaded Discussions after a given Unit ends.
- If your faculty approves your submission of late assignments, each assignment score will be penalized 10% per day up to five days late. After the fifth day, late assignments will not be accepted. (Note: An assignment is a paper, a project, a team presentation, etc., not a discussion.)
- No late assignments will be accepted after the close of the final unit.

EXPECTATIONS

Faculty Expectations of Students:

- Have consistent access to a computer and possess baseline computer and information skills prior to taking online courses.
- Log into their courses within 24 hours of the beginning of the session to confirm their participation. (Students who register after the session has begun will be responsible for any assignments or material already covered.)
- Take an active role in each unit, participating fully in discussions, assignments and other activities throughout the entire session. If some event interferes with that participation, the student is responsible for notifying the instructor in advance.
- Review the course syllabus and other preliminary course materials thoroughly as early as possible during the first few days of the course.
- Be responsible for raising any questions or seeking clarification about these materials, if necessary, within the first week of the session.
- Frequently check the course calendar for due dates.
- Submit assignments and papers on time, and take tests by the posted dates. Acceptance of late work and any penalties for late submissions are up to the discretion of the instructor, based on the expectations outlined in the course syllabus.

- Contribute meaningful, timely comments to online discussions according to guidelines provided.
- Contribute substantively to group assignments (if required in course).
- Check for University announcements each time you log onto the LMS. These postings are critical.
- Use Lee email address.
- Complete the "Student Survey of Instruction" for each course to evaluate the instructor and the course.

Students' Expectations of Faculty:

- The opportunity to be active participants in a stimulating and challenging education that is global in scope, interactive in process and diverse in content and approach.
- A friendly, respectful, open, and encouraging learning environment.
- A course outline or syllabus that clearly provides information regarding course content, teaching methods, course objectives, grading, attendance/participation policies, due dates, and student assessment guidelines.
- Instructors who are responsive and available to discuss within 48 hours students' progress, course content, assignments, etc. at mutually convenient times from the first day of the session through the last day of the session. (Check the faculty contact information regarding weekends and holidays.)
- Individual instructor's contact information, schedules, availability, and procedural details are located within the course.
- To have access to instructor feedback and grading on projects, exams, papers, quizzes, etc., within ten (10) days of assignment due date so students are able to determine where they have made errors or need additional work.
- Final grade/feedback provided within ten (10) days after the last date of course.

IMPORTANT STUDENT INFORMATION

Special Needs:

Lee University, in conjunction with the Academic Support Office, works to ensure students with documented disabilities have access to educational opportunities. Students who need accommodations based on a disability should visit the Academic Support Office, call (423) 614-8181, or email academicsupport@leeuniversity.edu. It is the student's responsibility to share the Accommodations Form with the instructor in order to initiate the accommodations.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Knowledge Base/Working Bibliography (Reading List):

Anderson, N., Hardy, G. and West, M. 'Innovative Teams at Work', *Personnel Management* Vol. 22, No. 9 (1990): pp. 48–53.

- Aritzeta, A., Senior, B. and Swailes, S. 'Team Role Preferences and Cognitive Styles: A Convergent Validity Study', *Small Group Research*, Vol. 36, No. 4 (2005): pp. 404–436.
- Barsade, S.G., Ward, A.J., Turner, J.D.F. and Sonnenfeld, J.A. 'To Your Heart's Content: A Model of Affective Diversity in Top Management Teams', *Administrative Science Quarterly*, Vol. 45, No. 4 (2000): pp. 802–836.
- Beeby, M. and Simpson, P. 'Developing Strategic Processes for Change in Top Management Teams', Executive Development, Vo. 8, No. 1 (1995): pp. 20–22.
- Belbin, R.M. Management Teams: Why They Succeed or Fail, Oxford: Butterworth Heinemann, 1981.
- Belbin, R.M. Team Roles at Work, Oxford: Butterworth- Heinemann, 1993.
- Berry, J.R. 'Team Structure and Management', Unpublished PhD Thesis, Henley Management College, 1995.
- Borrelli, G., Cable, J. and Higgs, M.J. 'What Makes Teams Work Better?', *Team Performance Management*, Vol. 1, No. 3 (1995): pp. 28–34.
- Bradford, D.L. and Cohen, A.R. Managing for Excellence, New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons, 1984.
- Carnall, C.A. *Managing Change in Organizations* (Third Edition), Hemel Hempstead: Prentice Hall, 1999.
- Critchley, B. and Casey, D. 'Second Thoughts on Team Building', *Management Education and Development*, Vol. 15, No. 2 (1984): pp. 163–175.
- Dulewicz, S.V. 'Assessment of Management Competencies by Personality Questionnaire', *Selection and Development Review*, Vol. 8, No. 1 (February/1992): pp. 1–4.
- Dulewicz, S.V. and Herbert, P. 'General Management Competencies and Personality: A 7 Year Follow-up Study', *Henley Working Paper Series HWP 9621*, Henley-on-Thames: Henley Management College, 1996.
- Eccles, R.G. 'The Performance Measurement Manifesto', *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. 69, No. 1 (Jan–Feb/1991): pp. 131–137.
- Furnham, A., Steel, H. and Pendleton, D. 'A Psychometric Assessment of the Belbin Team Role Self-Perception Inventory', *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, Vol. 66, No. 3 (1993): pp. 245–257.
- Ghoshal, S. and Bartlett, C.A. 'Changing the Role of Top Management', *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. 73, No. 1 (Jan–Feb/1995): pp. 86–96.
- Hackman, J.R. and Morris, C.G. 'Group Tasks, Group Interaction Process and Group Performance Effectiveness: A Review and Proposed Integration' in L. Berkowicz (ed), *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, Volume 8, New York: Academic, 1975.
- Hambrick, D.C. 'Fragmentation and the Other Problems CEOs Have with Their Top Management Teams', *California Management Review*, Vol. 37, No. 3 (1995): pp. 110–127.
- Hambrick, D.C. and Mason, P.A. 'Upper Echelons: The Organization as a Reflection of its Top Managers', *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 9, No. 2 (1984): pp. 193–206.
- Hardingham, A. and Royal, J. *Pulling Together: Teamwork in Practice*, London: Institute of Personnel and Development, 1994.