



**DIVISION OF ADULT LEARNING**

**SYLLABUS**

**CLDR-475: Legal Foundations for Church Leaders**

Date: 07/07/2016

<b>Table of Contents:</b>	
<b>UNIVERSITY MISSION STATEMENT:</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>CATALOG DESCRIPTION:</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>REQUIRED TEXT(S) AND/OR SUPPORTING RESOURCES:</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>PREREQUISITE SKILLS AND KNOWLEDGE:</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>COURSE GOALS AND LEARNING OUTCOMES:</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>MAJOR TOPICS:</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>COURSE ASSESSMENTS:</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>EVALUATION:</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>GRADING SCALE:</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>LETTER GRADE EQUIVALENCIES:</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>UNIT AND TIME DISTRIBUTION:</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>POLICIES</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>ATTENDANCE POLICY:</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>ACADEMIC HONESTY POLICY/INFORMATION:</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>LATE POLICY:</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>EXPECTATIONS</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>FACULTY EXPECTATIONS OF STUDENTS:</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>STUDENTS' EXPECTATIONS OF FACULTY:</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>IMPORTANT STUDENT INFORMATION</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>SPECIAL NEEDS:</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>KNOWLEDGE BASE/WORKING BIBLIOGRAPHY (READING LIST):</b>	<b>8</b>

**University Mission Statement:**

Lee University is a Christian institution which offers liberal arts and professional education on both the baccalaureate and graduate levels through residential and distance programs. It seeks to provide education that integrates biblical truth as revealed in the Holy Scriptures with truth discovered through the study of arts and sciences and in the practice of various professions. A personal commitment to Jesus Christ as Savior is the controlling perspective from which the educational enterprise is carried out. The foundational purpose of all educational programs is to develop within the students knowledge, appreciation, understanding, ability and skills which will prepare them for responsible Christian living in a complex world.

**Catalog Description:**

This course has been designed as an introduction to legal foundations relevant to church leadership and administration. The course addresses substantive legal issues pertaining to authority, rights, privileges, responsibilities and liabilities of church and ministry leaders as well as others involved in church administration. Special emphasis is placed on principles and practices for avoiding legal pitfalls.

**Required Text(s) and/or Supporting Resources:****Required Text:**

McConnell, Michael W., Cochran, Robert F. Jr., Carmella, Angela C. *Christian Perspectives on Legal Thought*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 2001. ISBN: 9780300087505.

Shaughnessy, Mary Angela. *Ministry and the Law: What You Need to Know*. Mahwah: Paulist Press, 1988. ISBN: 9780809137893.

Witte, John, Alexander, Frank S. *Christianity and Law: An Introduction*. New York: Cambridge University Press, 2008. ISBN: 9780521697491.

**Additional Supporting Resources:**

Resources provided in the Learning Management System (LMS).

**Prerequisite Skills and Knowledge:**

None

**Course Goals and Learning Outcomes:****PURPOSE**

This course has been designed as an introduction to legal foundations relevant to church leadership and administration.

**General Learning Objectives (Course Goals):**

*This course seeks to:*

1. Provide students with an understanding of basic legal concepts and terminology.
2. Discuss methods and options when it comes to resolving conflict.
3. Develop critical thinking skills to avoid litigation within the Church.
4. Clarify one's own thinking about how God's law and man's law function.
5. Explore all options within discussion before reacting upon one's emotions.

**Specific Behavioral Objectives** (*Learning Outcomes*):

*As a result of the activities and study in this course, the student should be able to:*

1. Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the significant legal and ethical principles and practices in serving as a leader within the church, ministry administration and management roles.
2. Develop and apply a philosophy of preventative law in conducting day-to-day professional and ministerial responsibilities as a church or ministry leader.
3. Explore and analyze traditional and contemporary professional and ethical issues, public policy, legal rights and responsibilities, applicable to a variety of significant church related legal matters.
4. Demonstrate proficiency in basic legal and action research, problem solving, critical thinking, as well as oral and written communication skills necessary to perform as a competent, ethical and effective church and/or ministry leader.
5. Show competency in identifying, selecting and using a variety of academic, professional and legal resources available to church and ministry leaders in carrying out one's legal, ethical, professional and ministerial responsibilities.

**Major Topics:**

- A. Sources of law impacting ministry
- B. The Christian and God's law
- C. The Christian and the Constitution
- D. The Christian and man's law
- E. Christian perspectives on many areas of legal thought

**Course Assessments:**

- A. **Text/Media.** All text/media is evaluated in the threaded discussions and assignments.
- B. **Threaded Discussions.** The threaded discussions are an opportunity for students to demonstrate their knowledge of the course material and interact with fellow students. Just doing an initial post and/or response will not guarantee any point value. Discussions will be evaluated as follows: a) on the depth of engagement with the discussion topic and/or issue; b) on the depth of understanding of the topic and/or issue; and c) on the depth of interaction with the other students. An initial post is required (evaluation of "a" and "b" above) and then response posts interacting to other students' initial posts (evaluation of "c" above). Once the discussion deadline is reached, there will be no further opportunity to attain points for that discussion. If you have further questions on how these are evaluated, please contact your instructor.
- C. **Unit Assignments.** There are three reading responses required in this course, one five-page response for each of the required books.
- D. **Course Project.** The final project will consist of a dynamically constructed eight (8) page minimum paper on any topic related to this course that involve legal issues or processes you are interested in that involve a current or future project in a ministry setting.

**Evaluation:**

- |                         |     |
|-------------------------|-----|
| A. Threaded Discussions | 300 |
| B. Unit Assignments     | 300 |
| C. Course Project       | 400 |

<b>Grading Scale:</b>		
The standardized grading scale provides a uniform foundation from which to assess your performance.		
Grade	Quality Points per Credit	Score
A	4.0	930 - 1000
A-	3.7	900 - 929
B+	3.3	870 - 899
B	3.0	830 – 869
B-	2.7	800 – 829
C+	2.3	770 – 799
C	2.0	730 – 769
C-	1.7	700 – 729
D+	1.3	670 – 699
D	1.0	600 – 669
F	.0	0 - 599
<b>Letter Grade Equivalencies:</b>		
<p><b>A</b> = Clearly stands out as excellent performance. Has unusually sharp insights into material and initiates thoughtful questions. Sees many sides of an issue. Articulates well and writes logically and clearly. Integrates ideas previously learned from this and other disciplines. Anticipates next steps in progression of ideas. Example "A" work should be of such nature that it could be put on reserve for all cohort members to review and emulate. The "A" cohort member is, in fact, an example for others to follow.</p>		
<p><b>B</b> = Demonstrates a solid comprehension of the subject matter and always accomplishes all course requirements. Serves as an active participant and listener. Communicates orally and in writing at an acceptable level for a cohort member. Work shows intuition and creativity. Example "B" work indicates good quality of performance and is given in recognition for solid work; a "B" should be considered a good grade and awarded to those who submit assignments of quality less than the exemplary work described above.</p>		
<p><b>C</b> = Quality and quantity of work is average. Has average comprehension, communication skills, or initiative. Requirements of the assignments are addressed at least minimally.</p>		
<p><b>D</b> = Quality and quantity of work is below average. Has marginal comprehension, communication skills, or initiative. Requirements of the assignments are addressed at below acceptable levels.</p>		
<p><b>F</b> = Quality and quantity of work is unacceptable and does not qualify the student to progress to a more advanced level of work.</p>		
<b>Unit and Time Distribution:</b>		
The time to complete each unit is approximately 14-17 hours per week on average for a three hour course. Actual assignment completion times will vary. A more detailed breakdown of each assignment can be found within the course.		

## POLICIES

### Attendance Policy:

At Lee University student success is directly related to the student actively attending and engaging in the course. Online courses are no different from classroom courses in this regard; however, participation must be defined in a different manner.

Online courses will have weekly mechanisms for student participation, which can be documented by submission/completion of assignments, participation in threaded discussions, and/or specific communication with the instructor as outlined within the syllabus.

### Academic Honesty Policy/Information:

Cheating is defined as the use or attempted use of unauthorized materials or receiving unauthorized assistance or communication during any academic exercise.

Examples of cheating include:

- Submitting work for academic evaluation that is not the your own.
- Receiving assistance from another person during an examination.
- Using prepared notes or materials during an examination.
- Permitting another student to copy your work.
- Plagiarism.
- Falsification.
- Other misrepresentations of academic achievement submitted for evaluation or a grade.

As stated in the LEE UNIVERSITY Catalog, plagiarism is presenting as your own work the words, ideas, opinions, theories, or thoughts which are not common knowledge. Students who present others' words or ideas as their own without fair attribution (documentation) are guilty of plagiarizing. Unfair attribution includes, but is not limited to, a direct quotation of all or part of another's words without appropriately identifying the source. It is also unfair attribution to have included a source within a Works Cited page without having carefully cited the source within the text of the document. Plagiarism also includes, but is not limited to, the following acts when performed without fair attribution:

- a. directly quoting all or part of another person's words without quotation marks, as appropriate to the discipline.
- b. paraphrasing all or part of another person's words without documentation.
- c. stating an idea, theory, or formula as your own when it actually originated with another person.
- d. purchasing (or receiving in any other manner) a term paper or other assignment, which is the work of another person, and submitting that work as if it were one's own.

### Late Policy:

- No credit is available for postings of any kind made in the **Threaded Discussions** after a given Unit ends.
- If your faculty approves your submission of late assignments, each assignment score will be penalized 10% per day up to five days late. After the fifth day, late assignments will not be

accepted. (Note: An assignment is a paper, a project, a team presentation, etc., **not** a discussion.)

- No late assignments will be accepted after the close of the final unit.

## EXPECTATIONS

### Faculty Expectations of Students:

- Have consistent access to a computer and possess baseline computer and information skills prior to taking online courses.
- Log into their courses within 24 hours of the beginning of the session to confirm their participation. (Students who register after the session has begun will be responsible for any assignments or material already covered.)
- Take an active role in each unit, participating fully in discussions, assignments and other activities throughout the entire session. If some event interferes with that participation, the student is responsible for notifying the instructor in advance.
- Review the course syllabus and other preliminary course materials thoroughly as early as possible during the first few days of the course.
- Be responsible for raising any questions or seeking clarification about these materials, if necessary, within the first week of the session.
- Frequently check the course calendar for due dates.
- Submit assignments and papers on time, and take tests by the posted dates. Acceptance of late work and any penalties for late submissions are up to the discretion of the instructor, based on the expectations outlined in the course syllabus.
- Contribute meaningful, timely comments to online discussions according to guidelines provided.
- Contribute substantively to group assignments (if required in course).
- Check for University announcements each time you log onto the LMS. These postings are critical.
- Use Lee email address.
- Complete the "Student Survey of Instruction" for each course to evaluate the instructor and the course.

### Students' Expectations of Faculty:

- The opportunity to be active participants in a stimulating and challenging education that is global in scope, interactive in process and diverse in content and approach.
- A friendly, respectful, open, and encouraging learning environment.
- A course outline or syllabus that clearly provides information regarding course content, teaching methods, course objectives, grading, attendance/participation policies, due dates, and student assessment guidelines.
- Instructors who are responsive and available to discuss within 48 hours students' progress, course content, assignments, etc. at mutually convenient times from the first day of the session through the last day of the session. (Check the faculty contact information regarding weekends and holidays.)

- Individual instructor's contact information, schedules, availability, and procedural details are located within the course.
- To have access to instructor feedback and grading on projects, exams, papers, quizzes, etc., within ten (10) days of assignment due date so students are able to determine where they have made errors or need additional work.
- Final grade/feedback provided within ten (10) days after the last date of course.

## IMPORTANT STUDENT INFORMATION

### Special Needs:

Lee University, in conjunction with the Academic Support Office, works to ensure students with documented disabilities have access to educational opportunities. Students who need accommodations based on a disability should visit the Academic Support Office, call (423) 614-8181, or email [academicsupport@leeuniversity.edu](mailto:academicsupport@leeuniversity.edu). It is the student's responsibility to share the Accommodations Form with the instructor in order to initiate the accommodations.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

### Knowledge Base/Working Bibliography (Reading List):

- American Library Association Intellectual Freedom Committee, *Access to Electronic Information, Services, and Networks: An Interpretation of the Library Bill of Rights*, Draft statement, March 3, 1995
- Andrews, Anna S., When is a Threat *Truly* a Threat Lacking First Amendment Protection? A Proposed 'True Threats' Test to Safeguard Free Speech Rights in the Age of the Internet, UCLA Online Institute for Cyberspace Law and Policy (Spring 1999).
- Benkler, Yochai, *Free as the Air to Common Use: First Amendment Constraints on Enclosure of the Public Domain*, 74 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 354 (1999)
- Boyle, James, *The Constitution Under Clinton: A Critical Assessment: The First Amendment And Cyberspace: The Clinton Years*, 63 Law & Contemp. Prob. 337 (2000).
- Branscomb, Anne Wells, *Anonymity, Autonomy, and Accountability: Challenges to the First Amendment in Cyberspace*, 104 Yale L.J. 1639 (1995).
- Chin, Andrew, *Making the World Wide Web Safe for Democracy: A Medium Specific First Amendment Analysis*, 19 Hastings Comm. & Ent. L.J. 309 (1997).
- Douglas-Scott, Sionaidh, *The Hatefulness of Protected Speech: A Comparison of the American and European Approaches*, 7 Wm. & Mary Bill Rts. J. 305 (1999).
- Eule, Julian N. (as completed by Jonathan D. Varat), *Transporting First Amendment Norms to the Private Sector: With Every Wish There Comes a Curse*, 45 UCLA L. Rev. 1537 (1998).
- Faucher, John D., *Comment: Let the Chips Fall Where They May: Choice of Law in Computer Bulletin Board Defamation Cases*, 26 UC Davis L. Rev. 1045 (1993).
- Freedman, Eric M., *A Lot More Comes into Focus When You Remove the Lens Cap: Why Proliferating New Communications Technologies Make it Particularly Urgent for the Supreme Court to*



- Abandon its Inside Out Approach to Freedom of Speech and Bring Obscenity, Fighting Words, and Group Libel Within the First Amendment*, 81 Iowa L. Rev. 883 (1996).
- Geissler, R. Jonas, *Whether 'Anti-Spam' Laws Violate the First Amendment*, 2001 Journal of Online Law.
- Gey, Steven G., *Reopening the Public Forum-From Sidewalks to Cyberspace*, 51 Ohio St. L. J. 1535 (1998).
- Goldstone, David J., *The Public Forum Doctrine in the Age of the Information Superhighway*, 46 Hastings L.J. 335 (1995).
- Johns, Michael, *The First Amendment and Cyberspace: Trying to Teach Old Doctrines New Tricks*, 64 U. Chi. Legal Forum 653 (1996).
- Katsh, M. Ethan, *Software Worlds and the First Amendment: Virtual Doorkeepers in Cyberspace*, 1996 U. Chi. Legal F. 335 (1996).
- Kelly, Christopher M., *"The Spectre of a 'Wired' Nation": Denver Area Educational Telecommunications Consortium v. FCC and First Amendment Analysis in Cyberspace*, 10 Harvard Journal of Law and Technology 559 (1997).
- Kubler, Friedrich, *How Much Freedom for Racist Speech? Transnational Aspects of a Conflict of Human Rights*, 27 Hofstra L. Rev. 335 (1998).
- Lessig, Lawrence & Resnick, Paul, *Zoning Speech on the Internet: A Legal & Technical Model*, 98 Mich. L. Rev. 395 (1999).
- Levine, Noah, *Establishing Legal Accountability for Anonymous Communication in Cyberspace*, 96 Colum. L. Rev. 1526 (1996).
- Lidsky, Lyrissa B., *Silencing John Doe: Defamation & Discourse in Cyberspace*, 49 Duke L.J. 855 (2000). Print.
- Marcus, Joshua A., *Commercial Speech on the Internet: Spam and the First Amendment*, 16 Cardozo Arts & Ent. L.J. 245 (1998).
- McSpadden, Nadine E., *Slow and Steady Does Not Always Win the Race: The Nuremberg Files Web Site and What It Should Teach Us About Incitement and the Internet*, 76 Ind. L.J. 485 (2001).
- Minow, Mary, *Filters and the Public Library: A Legal and Policy Analysis*, First Monday, 1997.
- Nadel, Mark S., *The First Amendment's Limitations on the Use of Internet Filtering in Public and School Libraries: What Content Can Librarians Exclude?* 78 Tex. L. Rev. 1117 (2000).
- Post, David G., *Pooling Intellectual Capital: Thoughts on Anonymity, Pseudonymity, and Limited Liability in Cyberspace*, 1996 U. Chi. Legal F. 139 (1996).
- Semitsu, Junichi P., Note, *Burning Cyberbooks in Public Libraries: Internet Filtering Software vs. the First Amendment*, 52 Stan. L. Rev. 509 (2000).
- Singh, Sanjiv N., *Cyberspace: A New Frontier for Fighting Words*, 25 Rutgers Computer & Technology Law Journal 283 (1999).
- Slitt, Andrew J., Note: *The Anonymous Publisher: Defamation on the Internet After Reno v. American Civil Liberties Union and Zeran v. America Online*, 31 Conn. L. Rev. 389 (1998).
- Sperti, Angioletta, *The Public Forum Doctrine and Its Possible Application to the Internet*, UCLA Online Institute for Cyberspace Law and Policy (Spring 1997).
- Sunstein, Cass R., *Republic.Com*, Princeton University Press, 2001.
- Sunstein, Cass R., *The First Amendment in Cyberspace*, 104 Yale L. J. 1757 (1995).
- Tien, Lee, *Who's Afraid of Anonymous Speech? McIntyre and the Internet*, 75 Or. L. Rev. 117 (1996).
- Volokh, Eugene, *Cheap Speech and What It Will Do*, 104 Yale L.J. 1805 (1995).
- Volokh, Eugene, *The Constitution Under Clinton: A Critical Assessment: Freedom Of Speech, Cyberspace, Harassment Law, and The Clinton Administration*, 63 Law & Contemp. Prob. 299 (2000).

- Volokh, Eugene, *Freedom of Speech in Cyberspace from the Listener's Perspective: Private Speech Restrictions, Libel, State Action, Harassment, and Sex*, 1996 U. Chi. Legal Forum 377 (1996).
- Volokh, Eugene, *Freedom of Speech, Shielding Children, and Transcending Balancing*, 1997 Supreme Court Review
- Wagner, R. Polk, *Filters and the First Amendment*, 83 Minn. L. Rev. 755 (1999).
- Wagner, R. Polk, *The Medium is the Mistake: The Law of Software for the First Amendment*, 51 Stan. L. Rev. 387 (1999).
- Weintraub-Reiter, Rachel, *Hate Speech Over the Internet: A Traditional Constitutional Analysis Or a New Cyber Constitution?*, 8 Boston U. Pub. Int. L.J. 145 (1998).
- Weissblum, Lon, Comment: *Incitement to Violence on the World Wide Web: Can Web Publishers Seek First Amendment Refuge?*, 6 Mich. Telecomm.Tech. L. Rev. 35 (1999/2000).

### **Obscenity, Pornography, and Online 'Indecency'**

- Adler, Amy, *The Perverse Law of Child Pornography*, 101 Colum. L. Rev. 209 (2001).
- Akdeniz, Yaman, *The Regulation of Pornography and Child Pornography on the Internet*, Journal of Information, Law and Technology (1997).
- Beeson, Ann, et al., *Policing Obscenity and Pornography in an Online World*, 8 Wm. & Mary Bill of Rts. J. 69 (2000).
- Burke, Debra D., *Cybersmut and the First Amendment: A Call for a New Obscenity Standard*, 9 Harv. J. L. & Tech. 87 (1996).
- Campolo, Joseph N., *Childporn.GIF: Establishing Liability for On-Line Service Providers*, 6 Fordham I. P., Media & Ent. L.J. 721 (1996).
- Corn-Revere, Robert, *New Age Comstockery* [mirror site], 4 CommLaw Conspectus 173 (1996).
- Easton, Eric B., *Closing the Barn Door After the Genie Is Out of the Bag: Recognizing a "Futility Principle" in First Amendment Jurisprudence*, 45 DePaul L. Rev. 1 (1995).
- Kamarck, Mitchell D., *Empowering Celebrities in Cyberspace: Stripping the Web of Nude Images*, 15 Entertainment and Sports Lawyer 1 (Winter 1998).
- Lee, Lydia W., *Child Pornography Prevention Act of 1996: Confronting the Challenges of Virtual Reality*, 8 S. Cal. Interdisciplinary L.J. 639 (1999).
- Meyer, Carlin, *Reclaiming Sex from the Pornographers: Cybersexual Possibilities*, 83 Geo. L. J. 1969 (1995).
- Nesson, Charles, and Marglin, David, *The Day the Internet Met the First Amendment: Time and the Communications Decency Act*, 10 Harv. J. Law & Tec. 383 (1996).
- Rimm, Marty, *Marketing Pornography on the Information Superhighway: A Survey of 917,410 Images, Descriptions, Short Stories, and Animations Downloaded 8.5 Million Times by Consumers in over 2000 Cities in Forty Countries, Provinces, and Territories*, 83 Geo. L. J. 1849 (1995).
- Wallace, Jonathan & Mangan, Mark, *Sex, Laws & Cyberspace*, Henry Holt, 1996.
- Wasserman, Adam J., *Virtual.Child.Porn.com: Defending the Constitutionality of the Criminalization of Computer-Generated Child Pornography by the Child Pornography Prevention Act of 1996--A Reply to Professor Burke and other Critics*, 35 Harvard J. on Legis. 245 (Winter 1998).
- Weinberg, Jonathan, *Rating the Net*, 19 Comm/Ent 453 (1997).