



**DIVISION OF ADULT LEARNING**

**SYLLABUS**

**CRJU-376: CORRECTIONS**

Date: 07/29/2020

<b>Table of Contents:</b>	
<b>UNIVERSITY MISSION STATEMENT:</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>CATALOG DESCRIPTION:</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>REQUIRED TEXT(S) AND/OR SUPPORTING RESOURCES:</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>PREREQUISITE SKILLS AND KNOWLEDGE:</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>COURSE GOALS AND LEARNING OUTCOMES:</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>MAJOR TOPICS:</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>COURSE ASSESSMENTS:</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>EVALUATION:</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>GRADING SCALE:</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>LETTER GRADE EQUIVALENCIES:</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>UNIT AND TIME DISTRIBUTION:</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>POLICIES</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>ATTENDANCE POLICY:</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>ACADEMIC HONESTY POLICY/INFORMATION:</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>LATE POLICY:</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>EXPECTATIONS</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>FACULTY EXPECTATIONS OF STUDENTS:</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>STUDENTS' EXPECTATIONS OF FACULTY:</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>IMPORTANT STUDENT INFORMATION</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>SPECIAL NEEDS:</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>KNOWLEDGE BASE/WORKING BIBLIOGRAPHY (READING LIST):</b>	<b>8</b>

**University Mission Statement:**

Lee University is a Christian institution which offers liberal arts and professional education on both the baccalaureate and graduate levels through residential and distance programs. It seeks to provide education that integrates biblical truth as revealed in the Holy Scriptures with truth discovered through the study of arts and sciences and in the practice of various professions. A personal commitment to Jesus Christ as Savior is the controlling perspective from which the educational enterprise is carried out. The foundational purpose of all educational programs is to develop within the students knowledge, appreciation, understanding, ability and skills which will prepare them for responsible Christian living in a complex world.

**Catalog Description:**

This course is a study of correctional practices and issues. Emphasis will be upon probation, parole, diversion, pre-trial release, and intermediate sanctions.

**Required Text(s) and/or Supporting Resources:****Required Text:**

Siegel, L. J. (2016). *Corrections today (4th Ed.)*. Boston: Cengage Learning. **(Provided as a link in the course and charged to your Lee account as "Book Bundle" fee.)**

**Additional Supporting Resources:**

Resources included in the Learning Management System (LMS).

**Prerequisite Skills and Knowledge:**

None

**Course Goals and Learning Outcomes:****PURPOSE**

This course is an analysis of correctional institutions and practices in the United States. It primarily seeks to critically examine the differing philosophies of punishment. It will also focus on the organizational structure of jail and prisons, evaluate the different experiences and treatment of male, female, juvenile, and special prison populations. Further, it will look into model and promising correctional programs and evaluate the efficacy of capital punishment.

**General Learning Objectives (Course Goals):**

*This course seeks to:*

1. Present the ideas found within Enlightenment thinking and its influence on corrections.
2. Explain the roles of the court team in the sentencing process.
3. Describe what is meant by the term *community corrections act*.
4. Discuss the benefits of intermediate sanctions.
5. Describe the history and development of the jail.
6. Examine the extent to which prisons mirror the larger society.
7. Describe the age, gender, and racial makeup of those sent to prison.
8. Describe the relationship between classification and institutional security.
9. Differentiate between male and female prisoners and their institutional experiences.
10. Identify the role of treatment and services in prisons today.
11. Describe the problems of inmates with a substance-involved history, and immigrants.

12. Evaluate the efficacy of capital punishment.
13. Provide a Christian ethical action on how to treat criminals inside our correctional facilities.

**Specific Behavioral Objectives** (*Learning Outcomes*):

*As a result of the activities and study in this course, students will be able to:*

1. Discuss the purpose of corrections.
2. Articulate the reasons why we punish.
3. Explain what is meant by indeterminate sentencing, describe the role of sentencing guidelines and discuss what is meant by truth-in-sentencing.
4. Compare the main types of diversion programs and identify their advantages and disadvantages.
5. Examine the relationship between restorative justice and intermediate sanctions.
6. Categorize the makeup of the jail population.
7. Assess the difficulties of confinement for male and female inmates and the role of correctional officers in prison.
8. Summarize *prisoners' rights* and the legal services available to inmates.
9. Discuss classification for treatment and the functions of parole board.
10. Integrate faith and the knowledge about the course to respond ethically on various issues in the correctional system.

**Major Topics:**

- A. Correctional System
- B. Sentencing and Correctional Process
- C. Community Corrections
- D. Intermediate Sanctions
- E. Jail and Houses of Corrections
- F. Prisons
- G. Prison Experiences: Male and Female
- H. Prisoner's Rights
- I. Correctional Programs
- J. Parole and Release to the Community
- K. Special Prison Populations
- L. Capital Punishment and Death Row
- M. Juvenile Prison
- N. Career in Corrections

**Course Assessments:**

- A. **Text/Media.** All text/media is evaluated in the threaded discussions and assignments.
- B. **Threaded Discussions.** The threaded discussions are an opportunity for students to demonstrate their knowledge of the course material and interact with fellow students. Just doing an initial post and/or response will not guarantee any point value. Discussions will be evaluated as follows: a) on the depth of engagement with the discussion topic and/or issue; b) on the depth of understanding of the topic and/or issue; and c) on the depth of interaction with the other students. An initial post is required (evaluation of "a" and "b" above) and then response posts interacting to other students' initial posts (evaluation of "c" above). Once the discussion deadline is reached, there will be no further opportunity to attain points for that

discussion. If you have further questions on how these are evaluated, please contact your instructor.

- C. **Unit Assignments.** There are six specific assignments throughout the course (Units 1, 2, 4, 5, 6 and 7). Each assignment provides the specific instructions needed.
- D. **Course Project.** The students will write a paper synthesizing concepts learned throughout the course.
- E. **Exams.** There are two (2) exams throughout the course. More information is provided in each particular assignment.

**Evaluation:**

A. Threaded Discussions	210
B. Unit Assignments	360
C. Course Project	230
D. Exams	200

**Grading Scale:**

The standardized grading scale provides a uniform foundation from which to assess your performance.

Grade	Quality Points per Credit	Score
A	4.0	930 - 1000
A-	3.7	900 - 929
B+	3.3	870 - 899
B	3.0	830 - 869
B-	2.7	800 - 829
C+	2.3	770 - 799
C	2.0	730 - 769
C-	1.7	700 - 729
D+	1.3	670 - 699
D	1.0	600 - 669
F	.0	0 - 599

**Letter Grade Equivalencies:**

**A** = Clearly stands out as excellent performance. Has unusually sharp insights into material and initiates thoughtful questions. Sees many sides of an issue. Articulates well and writes logically and clearly. Integrates ideas previously learned from this and other disciplines. Anticipates next steps in progression of ideas. Example "A" work should be of such nature that it could be put on reserve for all cohort members to review and emulate. The "A" cohort member is, in fact, an example for others to follow.

**B** = Demonstrates a solid comprehension of the subject matter and always accomplishes all course requirements. Serves as an active participant and listener. Communicates orally and in writing at an acceptable level for a cohort member. Work shows intuition and creativity. Example "B" work

<p>indicates good quality of performance and is given in recognition for solid work; a "B" should be considered a good grade and awarded to those who submit assignments of quality less than the exemplary work described above.</p>
<p><b>C</b> = Quality and quantity of work is average. Has average comprehension, communication skills, or initiative. Requirements of the assignments are addressed at least minimally.</p>
<p><b>D</b> = Quality and quantity of work is below average. Has marginal comprehension, communication skills, or initiative. Requirements of the assignments are addressed at below acceptable levels.</p>
<p><b>F</b> = Quality and quantity of work is unacceptable and does not qualify the student to progress to a more advanced level of work.</p>
<p><b>Unit and Time Distribution:</b></p>
<p>The time to complete each unit is approximately 14-17 hours per week on average for a three hour course. Actual assignment completion times will vary. A more detailed breakdown of each assignment can be found within the course.</p>

**POLICIES**

**Attendance Policy:**

At Lee University student success is directly related to the student actively attending and engaging in the course. Online courses are no different from classroom courses in this regard; however, participation must be defined in a different manner.

Online courses will have weekly mechanisms for student participation, which can be documented by submission/completion of assignments, participation in threaded discussions, and/or specific communication with the instructor as outlined within the syllabus.

**Academic Honesty Policy/Information:**

Cheating is defined as the use or attempted use of unauthorized materials or receiving unauthorized assistance or communication during any academic exercise.

Examples of cheating include:

- Submitting work for academic evaluation that is not your own.
- Receiving assistance from another person during an examination.
- Using prepared notes or materials during an examination.
- Permitting another student to copy your work.
- Plagiarism.
- Falsification.
- Other misrepresentations of academic achievement submitted for evaluation or a grade.

As stated in the LEE UNIVERSITY Catalog, plagiarism is presenting as your own work the words, ideas, opinions, theories, or thoughts which are not common knowledge. Students who present others' words or ideas as their own without fair attribution (documentation) are guilty of plagiarizing. Unfair attribution includes, but is not limited to, a direct quotation of all or part of another's words without appropriately identifying the source. It is also unfair attribution to have included a source within a Works Cited page without having carefully cited the source within the text of the document.

Plagiarism also includes, but is not limited to, the following acts when performed without fair attribution:

- a. directly quoting all or part of another person's words without quotation marks, as appropriate to the discipline.
- b. paraphrasing all or part of another person's words without documentation.
- c. stating an idea, theory, or formula as your own when it actually originated with another person.
- d. purchasing (or receiving in any other manner) a term paper or other assignment, which is the work of another person, and submitting that work as if it were one's own.

#### Late Policy:

- No credit is available for postings of any kind made in the **Threaded Discussions** after a given Unit ends.
- If your faculty approves your submission of late assignments, each assignment score will be penalized 10% per day up to five days late. After the fifth day, late assignments will not be accepted. (Note: An assignment is a paper, a project, a team presentation, etc., **not** a discussion.)
- No late assignments will be accepted after the close of the final unit.

## EXPECTATIONS

#### Faculty Expectations of Students:

- Have consistent access to a computer and possess baseline computer and information skills prior to taking online courses.
- Log into their courses within 24 hours of the beginning of the session to confirm their participation. (Students who register after the session has begun will be responsible for any assignments or material already covered.)
- Take an active role in each unit, participating fully in discussions, assignments and other activities throughout the entire session. If some event interferes with that participation, the student is responsible for notifying the instructor in advance.
- Review the course syllabus and other preliminary course materials thoroughly as early as possible during the first few days of the course.
- Be responsible for raising any questions or seeking clarification about these materials, if necessary, within the first week of the session.
- Frequently check the course calendar for due dates.
- Submit assignments and papers on time, and take tests by the posted dates. Acceptance of late work and any penalties for late submissions are up to the discretion of the instructor, based on the expectations outlined in the course syllabus.
- Contribute meaningful, timely comments to online discussions according to guidelines provided.
- Contribute substantively to group assignments (if required in course).
- Check for University announcements each time you log onto the LMS. These postings are critical.
- Use Lee email address.

- Complete the "Student Survey of Instruction" for each course to evaluate the instructor and the course.

#### Students' Expectations of Faculty:

- The opportunity to be active participants in a stimulating and challenging education that is global in scope, interactive in process and diverse in content and approach.
- A friendly, respectful, open, and encouraging learning environment.
- A course outline or syllabus that clearly provides information regarding course content, teaching methods, course objectives, grading, attendance/participation policies, due dates, and student assessment guidelines.
- Instructors who are responsive and available to discuss within 48 hours students' progress, course content, assignments, etc. at mutually convenient times from the first day of the session through the last day of the session. (Check the faculty contact information regarding weekends and holidays.)
- Individual instructor's contact information, schedules, availability, and procedural details are located within the course.
- To have access to instructor feedback and grading on projects, exams, papers, quizzes, etc., within ten (10) days of assignment due date so students are able to determine where they have made errors or need additional work.
- Final grade/feedback provided within ten (10) days after the last date of course.

### IMPORTANT STUDENT INFORMATION

#### Special Needs:

Lee University is committed to the provision of reasonable accommodations for students with disabilities, as defined in Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Students who think they may qualify for these accommodations should contact the Office of Academic Support to set up accommodations.

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

#### Knowledge Base/Working Bibliography (Reading List):

##### **Books**

- Austin, J., & Irwin, J. (2001). *It's about time: America's imprisonment binge*. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.
- Clear, T. R. (2007). *Imprisoning communities: How mass incarceration makes disadvantaged neighborhoods worse*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Boonin, D. (2008). *The Problem of Punishment*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Garland, D. (1990). *The sociology of punishment and punishment today*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.



- Haas, K. C., & Alpert, G. P. (2006). *The dilemmas of corrections: Multidisciplinary perspectives*. Long Grove, IL: Waveland Press.
- Hassine, V. (2009). *Life Without Parole: Living in Prison Today* (4 ed.). Los Angeles: Roxbury.
- Irwin, J. (2005). *The Warehouse Prison*. Los Angeles: Roxbury Publishing Company.
- Latessa, E. J., & Holsinger, A. M. (Eds.). (2006). *Correctional Contexts: Contemporary and Classical Readings* (3 ed.). Los Angeles, CA: Roxbury.
- Owen, B. (1998). *In The Mix: Struggle and survival in a women's prison*. Albany, NY: State University of New York.
- Petersilia, J. (2003). *When Prisoners Come Home: Parole and Prisoner Reentry*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- MacKenzie, D. L. (2006). *What works in corrections: Reducing the criminal activities of offenders and delinquents*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Seiter, R. P. (2011). *Corrections: An introduction*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Sykes, G. M. (1958). *The society of captives: a study of a maximum security prison*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

**Articles**

- Blumstein, A., & Piquero, A. R. (2007). Restore rationality to sentencing policy. *Criminology & Public Policy*, 6(4), 679-687.
- Byrne, J. M., Taxman, F. S., & Corbett, R. P. J. (2008). Community Supervision. *Criminology & Public Policy*, 7(2), 263-310.
- Clear, T. R. (2005). Places not cases?: Re-thinking the probation focus. *The Howard Journal of Criminal Justice*, 44(2), 172-184.
- Davies, G., & Dedel, K. (2006). Violence risk screening in community corrections. *Criminology & Public Policy*, 5(4), 743-769.
- Flory, C. M., May, D. C., Minor, K. I., & Wood, P. B. (2006). A comparison of punishment exchange rates between offenders under supervision and their supervising officers. *Journal of Criminal Justice*, 34(1), 39-50.
- Henry, J. S., & Jacobs, J. B. (2007). Ban the box to promote ex-offender employment. *Criminology & Public Policy*, 6(4), 755-761.
- Lukemeyer, A., & McCorkle, R. C. (2006). Privatization of Prisons: Impact on Prison Conditions. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 36(2), 189-206.
- Lynch, G. E. (2001). Sentencing Eddie. *Journal of Criminal Law & Criminology*, 91(3), 547- 566.
- Lynch, J. P., & Sabol, W. J. (2004). Assessing the effects of mass incarceration on informal control in communities. *Criminology & Public Policy*, 3(2), 267-294.
- Mauer, M. (2007). The hidden problem of time served in prison. *Social Research*, 74(2), 701- 706.
- Pizarro, J., & Stenius, V. M. (2004). Supermax Prisons: Their rise, current practices, and effect on inmates. *The Prison Journal*, 84(2), 248-264.
- Pollock, J. M. (2004). *Doing time eight to five Prisons and Prison Life* (pp. 215-252). Los Angeles: Roxbury. In *youth violence*. New York: Oxford University Press.