

DIVISION OF ADULT LEARNING

SYLLABUS

MMST-534: Practical Theology

Date: 11/04/2016

Table of Contents:	
UNIVERSITY MISSION STATEMENT:	3
CATALOG DESCRIPTION:	3
REQUIRED TEXT(S) AND/OR SUPPORTING RESOURCES:	3
PREREQUISITE SKILLS AND KNOWLEDGE:	3
COURSE GOALS AND LEARNING OUTCOMES:	3
MAJOR TOPICS:	4
COURSE ASSESSMENTS:	4
EVALUATION:	5
GRADING SCALE:	6
LETTER GRADE EQUIVALENCIES:	6
UNIT AND TIME DISTRIBUTION:	6
POLICIES	7
ATTENDANCE POLICY:	7
ACADEMIC HONESTY POLICY/INFORMATION:	7
LATE POLICY:	7
EXPECTATIONS	8
FACULTY EXPECTATIONS OF STUDENTS:	8
STUDENTS' EXPECTATIONS OF FACULTY:	8
IMPORTANT STUDENT INFORMATION	9
SPECIAL NEEDS:	9
BIBLIOGRAPHY	9
KNOWLEDGE BASE/WORKING BIBLIOGRAPHY (READING LIST):	9

2

University Mission Statement:

Lee University is a Christian institution which offers liberal arts and professional education on both the baccalaureate and graduate levels through residential and distance programs. It seeks to provide education that integrates biblical truth as revealed in the Holy Scriptures with truth discovered through the study of arts and sciences and in the practice of various professions. A personal commitment to Jesus Christ as Savior is the controlling perspective from which the educational enterprise is carried out. The foundational purpose of all educational programs is to develop within the students knowledge, appreciation, understanding, ability and skills which will prepare them for responsible Christian living in a complex world.

Catalog Description:

This course introduces students to the discipline of practical theology by engaging theological reflection upon the practice of ministry and church life. Rather than remaining in a contemplative mode of the traditional theological task, practical theology stresses the transformative character of doing theology by examining the underlying theological assumptions and foundations of the church's life and praxis. Students will learn to assess the church's actions for what they could or should be from a theological standpoint, yet also learn to describe and assess these actions as they truly exist. This theological and practical examination of the church will focus on several areas where thought and praxis overlap.

Required Text(s) and/or Supporting Resources:

Required Text:

Anderson, Ray S. *The Shape of Practical Theology: Empowering Ministry with Theological Praxis*. Downers Grove, IL: IVP Academic, 2001.

Osmer, Richard R. *Practical Theology: An Introduction.* Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 2008.

Seamands, Stephen. *Ministry in the Image of God: The Trinitarian Shape of Christian Service.*Downers Grove, IL: IVP Books, 2005.

Additional Supporting Resources:

Resources provided in the Learning Management System (LMS).

Prerequisite Skills and Knowledge:

Basic theological background.

Course Goals and Learning Outcomes:

PURPOSE

This course is intended to introduce the student to the discipline of practical theology and its various benefits for Christian ministry and service.

General Learning Objectives (Course Goals):

This course seeks to:

- 1. Introduce the academic discipline of practical theology.
- 2. Distinguish practical theology from the various other theological endeavors of the academic and ecclesial community.

- 3. Utilize theological method in building a foundational, trinitarian approach to practical theology.
- 4. Demonstrate the relationship between systematic theology and practical theology.
- 5. Construct a viable model for using practical theology within one's ministry setting.
- 6. Examine case-studies of various congregations in order to highlight the way that practical theology can make ministries in local congregations more effective.
- 7. Develop a theological basis for various skills of ministry (e.g., preaching, teaching, counseling and pastoral care).
- 8. Appraise various models of the church (ecclesiologies) for the purpose of building one's own "Theology of Church and Ministry."
- 9. Examine church architecture from a *theological* viewpoint.
- 10. Explain the various theological underpinnings of the sacraments.

Specific Behavioral Objectives (*Learning Outcomes*):

As a result of the activities and study in this course, the student should be able to:

- 1. Explain the discipline of practical theology.
- 2. Compare and contrast the other theological endeavors with practical theology.
- 3. Formulate a theological method as it relates to practical theology.
- 4. Propose a viable model for using practical theology in ministry.
- 5. Analyze case-studies of various congregations as related to practical theology.
- 6. Construct a theological basis for understanding the various skills of ministry from a practical theology viewpoint.
- 7. Explain the various ecclesiological models from a theological and practical viewpoint.
- 8. Theorize about the theological importance of church architecture.
- 9. Illustrate the way in which theology can inform and shape the sacraments and their delivery/reception in a local congregation.

Major Topics:

- A. Introduction to the Theology of Ministerial Practice (Practical Theology)
- B. The Trinity, the Image of God, and the Ministry of Christ Through Us
- C. Theory and praxis in theology and ministry
- D. Foundations of Ecclesiology
- E. Theology of Ordained Ministry & Laity
- F. Theology and Practice of the "Sacraments"
- G. Theology of Church Architecture
- H. Theological Basis for Educating Believers in a Local Church
- I. Theology of Pastoral Care & Counseling
- J. Theology of Preaching
- K. Theology of Conversion & Discipleship
- L. Theology of the Nature and Mission of the Church

Course Assessments:

4

A. **Participation:** You are required to participate in this course on a weekly basis. Although there is no participation grade, your participation is assessed as you engage in discussions and complete the written assessment activities. The course is designed so that each unit has a corresponding written lecture. The lectures provide explanatory content in addition to the textbook and article assignments; it is essential that you read the lecture, as well as any

- associated media presentations. A key aspect of your participation is the timely completion of all assigned readings, corresponding written activities, papers, threaded discussions, etc. The assignments must be completed within the timeframe specified in the assignment instructions. All times should be understood as Eastern Time.
- B. **Turabian Format:** The School of Religion requires Turabian formatting as the style for all written assignments. Please see the *School of Religion Manual of Style for Papers* (on LMS) for a current guide to Turabian format.
- C. **Gender Inclusive Language:** The School of Religion supports and expects the use of gender inclusive language in written and oral communication. Examples of gender inclusive language include: humankind rather than mankind; people rather than men or women, etc. Obviously, if gender specificity is appropriate, as in referring to a mother as "she," that is acceptable.
- D. **Text/Media.** All text/media is evaluated in the threaded discussions and assignments.
- E. Threaded Discussions. The threaded discussions are an opportunity for students to demonstrate their knowledge of the course material and interact with fellow students. Just doing an initial post and/or response will not guarantee any point value. Discussions will be evaluated as follows: a) on the depth of engagement with the discussion topic and/or issue; b) on the depth of understanding of the topic and/or issue; and c) on the depth of interaction with the other students. An initial post is required (evaluation of "a" and "b" above) and then response posts interacting to other students' initial posts (evaluation of "c" above). Once the discussion deadline is reached, there will be no further opportunity to attain points for that discussion. If you have further questions on how these are evaluated, please contact your instructor.
- F. **Unit Assignments (Questions).** A number of units have Core Questions from the readings that will need to be answered and returned by students. These will engage students in various models of practical theology and specific aspects of the material.
- G. **Unit Assignments (Case Study).** Students will be asked to consider a case study related to practical theology and provide a response through a particular method proposed. This activity will assess the analysis of case study for practical theology.
- H. **Unit Assignments (Critiques).** Two critiques of specific chapters in the readings will be required of students. These will help the professor to determine if a grasp of the discipline of practical theology has been achieved.
- I. Course Project. During the course of the session (7 weeks), students will be working on research related to a method of practical theology as well as a specific topic. This assessment will measure students' grasp of practical theology as an academic discipline and whether or not students can formulate a theological method or viable model for practical theology in ministry. This project will require two (2) submissions: 1) a Research Project Proposal and 2) the Research Project.

Evaluation:

A.	Threaded Discussions	160
В.	Unit Assignment	500
C.	Course Project	340

Grading Scale:

The standardized grading scale provides a uniform foundation from which to assess your performance.

Grade	Grade	Grade
А	4.0	930 - 1000
A-	3.7	900 - 929
B+	3.3	870 - 899
В	3.0	830 – 869
B-	2.7	800 – 829
C+	2.3	770 – 799
С	2.0	730 – 769
F	.0	0 - 729

Letter Grade Equivalencies:

- **A** = Clearly stands out as excellent performance. Has unusually sharp insights into material and initiates thoughtful questions. Sees many sides of an issue. Articulates well and writes logically and clearly. Integrates ideas previously learned from this and other disciplines. Anticipates next steps in progression of ideas. Example "A" work should be of such nature that it could be put on reserve for all cohort members to review and emulate. The "A" cohort member is, in fact, an example for others to follow.
- **B** = Demonstrates a solid comprehension of the subject matter and always accomplishes all course requirements. Serves as an active participant and listener. Communicates orally and in writing at an acceptable level for a cohort member. Work shows intuition and creativity. Example "B" work indicates good quality of performance and is given in recognition for solid work; a "B" should be considered a good grade and awarded to those who submit assignments of quality less than the exemplary work described above.
- **C** = Quality and quantity of work in and out of class is average. Has average comprehension, communication skills, or initiative. Requirements of the assignments are addressed at least minimally.
- **F** = Quality and quantity of work is unacceptable and does not qualify the student to progress to a more advanced level of work.

Unit and Time Distribution:

The time to complete each unit is approximately 17 hours per week on average for a three hour course. Actual assignment completion times will vary. A more detailed breakdown of each assignment can be found within the course.

POLICIES

Attendance Policy:

At Lee University student success is directly related to the student actively attending and engaging in the course. Online courses are no different from classroom courses in this regard; however, participation must be defined in a different manner.

Online courses will have weekly mechanisms for student participation, which can be documented by submission/completion of assignments, participation in threaded discussions, and/or specific communication with the instructor as outlined within the syllabus.

Academic Honesty Policy/Information:

Cheating is defined as the use or attempted use of unauthorized materials or receiving unauthorized assistance or communication during any academic exercise.

Examples of cheating include:

- Submitting work for academic evaluation that is not the your own.
- Receiving assistance from another person during an examination.
- Using prepared notes or materials during an examination.
- Permitting another student to copy your work.
- Plagiarism.
- Falsification.
- Other misrepresentations of academic achievement submitted for evaluation or a grade.

As stated in the LEE UNIVERSITY Catalog, plagiarism is presenting as your own work the words, ideas, opinions, theories, or thoughts which are not common knowledge. Students who present others' words or ideas as their own without fair attribution (documentation) are guilty of plagiarizing. Unfair attribution includes, but is not limited to, a direct quotation of all or part of another's words without appropriately identifying the source. It is also unfair attribution to have included a source within a Works Cited page without having carefully cited the source within the text of the document. Plagiarism also includes, but is not limited to, the following acts when performed without fair attribution:

- a. directly quoting all or part of another person's words without quotation marks, as appropriate to the discipline.
- b. paraphrasing all or part of another person's words without documentation.
- c. stating an idea, theory, or formula as your own when it actually originated with another person.
- d. purchasing (or receiving in any other manner) a term paper or other assignment, which is the work of another person, and submitting that work as if it were one's own.

Late Policy:

- No credit is available for postings of any kind made in the Threaded Discussions after a given Unit ends.
- If your faculty approves your submission of late assignments, each assignment score will be penalized 10% per day up to five days late. After the fifth day, late assignments will not be

- accepted. (Note: An assignment is a paper, a project, a team presentation, etc., **not** a discussion.)
- No late assignments will be accepted after the close of the final Unit.

EXPECTATIONS

Faculty Expectations of Students:

- Have consistent access to a computer and possess baseline computer and information skills prior to taking online courses.
- Log into their courses within 24 hours of the beginning of the session to confirm their participation. (Students who register after the session has begun will be responsible for any assignments or material already covered.)
- Take an active role in each unit, participating fully in discussions, assignments and other activities throughout the entire session. If some event interferes with that participation, the student is responsible for notifying the instructor in advance.
- Review the course syllabus and other preliminary course materials thoroughly as early as possible during the first few days of the course.
- Be responsible for raising any questions or seeking clarification about these materials, if necessary, within the first week of the session.
- Frequently check the course calendar for due dates.
- Submit assignments and papers on time, and take tests by the posted dates. Acceptance of late work and any penalties for late submissions are up to the discretion of the instructor, based on the expectations outlined in the course syllabus.
- Contribute meaningful, timely comments to online discussions according to guidelines provided.
- Contribute substantively to group assignments (if required in course).
- Check for University announcements each time you log onto the LMS. These postings are critical.
- Use Lee email address.
- Complete the "Student Survey of Instruction" for each course to evaluate the instructor and the course.

Students' Expectations of Faculty:

- The opportunity to be active participants in a stimulating and challenging education that is global in scope, interactive in process and diverse in content and approach.
- A friendly, respectful, open, and encouraging learning environment.
- A course outline or syllabus that clearly provides information regarding course content, teaching methods, course objectives, grading, attendance/participation policies, due dates, and student assessment guidelines.
- Instructors who are responsive and available to discuss within 48 hours students' progress, course content, assignments, etc. at mutually convenient times from the first day of the session through the last day of the session. (Check the faculty contact information regarding weekends and holidays.)

- Individual instructor's contact information, schedules, availability, and procedural details are located within the course.
- To have access to instructor feedback and grading on projects, exams, papers, quizzes, etc., within ten (10) days of assignment due date so students are able to determine where they have made errors or need additional work.
- Final grade/feedback provided within ten (10) days after the last date of course.

IMPORTANT STUDENT INFORMATION

Special Needs:

Lee University, in conjunction with the Academic Support Office, works to ensure students with documented disabilities have access to educational opportunities. Students who need accommodations based on a disability should visit the Academic Support Office, call (423) 614-8181, or email academicsupport@leeuniversity.edu. It is the student's responsibility to share the Accommodations Form with the instructor in order to initiate the accommodations.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Knowledge Base/Working Bibliography (Reading List):

Anderson, Ray S. *On Being Human: Essays in Theological Anthropology*. Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1982.

Ballard, Paul, and John Pritchard. *Practical Theology in Action: Christian Thinking in the Service of the Church and Society.* London: SPCK, 1996.

Bonhoeffer, Dietrich. Life Together. London: SCM Press, 1970.

______. Sanctorum Communio: A Theological Study of the Sociology of the Church. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 1998.

Browning, Don S. The Moral Context of Pastoral Care. Philadelphia: Westminster Press, 1976.

Dykstra, Craig, and Dorothy Bass. "A Theological Understanding of Christian Practices." In *Practicing Theology: Beliefs and Practices in Christian Life*. Edited by Miroslav Volf and Dorothy C. Bass. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2002.

Farley, Edward. *Theologia: The Fragmentation and Unity of Theological Education.* Philadelphia: Fortress Press, 1983.

Firet, Jacob. Dynamics in Pastoring. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1986.

Forrester, Duncan B. (ed.). Theology and Practice. London: Epworth, 1990.

Fowler, James. "Practical Theology and Theological Education: Some Models and Questions." Theology Today 42 (1985): 43-58.

- Graham, Elaine L. *Transforming Practice: Pastoral Theology in an Age of Uncertainty.* New York: Mowbray, 1996.
- Groome, Thomas. "Theology on our Feet! A Revisionist Pedagogy for Healing the Gap between Academia and Ecclesia." In *Formation and Reaction: The Promise of Practical Theology*. Edited by Lewis S. Mudge and James N. Poling. Philadelphia: Fortress Press, 1987.
- Heitink, Gerben. *Practical Theology: History, Theory, Action Domains.* Translated by Reinder Bruinsma. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1999.
- Moltmann, Jürgen. The Church in the Power of the Spirit. New York: Harper & Row, 1977.
- Oden, Thomas. Pastoral Theology: Essentials for Ministry. San Francisco: Harper & Row, 1983.
- Purves, Andrew. *Pastoral Theology in the Classical Tradition*. Louisville: Westminster John Knox Press, 2001.
- Rahner, Karl. "Practical Theology within the Totality of Theological Disciplines." In *Theological Investigations* 9. New York: Herder and Herder, 1972.
- Van Duesen Hunsinger, Deborah. *Theology and Pastoral Counseling: A New Interdisciplinary Approach.* Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1995.
- Veling, Terry A. *Practical Theology: 'On Earth as It Is in Heaven.'* Maryknoll, NY: Orbis Books, 2005. Whitehead, Evelyn and James. *Method in Ministry: Theological Reflection and Christian Ministry.* New
- York: Seabury Press, 1983.