



DIVISION OF ADULT LEARNING

SYLLABUS

PSYC-255: PSYCHOLOGY OF GRIEF AND LOSS

Date: 06/21/2021

Table of Contents:	
UNIVERSITY MISSION STATEMENT:	3
CATALOG DESCRIPTION:	3
REQUIRED TEXT(S) AND/OR SUPPORTING RESOURCES:	3
PREREQUISITE SKILLS AND KNOWLEDGE:	3
COURSE GOALS AND LEARNING OUTCOMES:	3
MAJOR TOPICS:	4
COURSE ASSESSMENTS:	4
EVALUATION:	4
GRADING SCALE:	5
LETTER GRADE EQUIVALENCIES:	5
UNIT AND TIME DISTRIBUTION:	5
POLICIES	6
ATTENDANCE POLICY:	6
ACADEMIC HONESTY POLICY/INFORMATION:	6
LATE POLICY:	6
EXPECTATIONS	7
FACULTY EXPECTATIONS OF STUDENTS:	7
STUDENTS' EXPECTATIONS OF FACULTY:	7
IMPORTANT STUDENT INFORMATION	8
SPECIAL NEEDS:	8
BIBLIOGRAPHY	8
KNOWLEDGE BASE/WORKING BIBLIOGRAPHY (READING LIST):	8

University Mission Statement:

Lee University is a Christian institution which offers liberal arts and professional education on both the baccalaureate and graduate levels through residential and distance programs. It seeks to provide education that integrates biblical truth as revealed in the Holy Scriptures with truth discovered through the study of arts and sciences and in the practice of various professions. A personal commitment to Jesus Christ as Savior is the controlling perspective from which the educational enterprise is carried out. The foundational purpose of all educational programs is to develop within the students knowledge, appreciation, understanding, ability and skills which will prepare them for responsible Christian living in a complex world.

Catalog Description:

This course exposes students to knowledge and skills of counseling psychology with current research and theory in grief and bereavement. Grief therapy is a unique form of counseling and views bereavement as a normal response to life crisis. Students are taught the active steps in the grief process that help people through the process of recovery.

Required Text(s) and/or Supporting Resources:**Required Text:**

To be determined.

Additional Supporting Resources:

Resources included in the Learning Management System (LMS).

Prerequisite Skills and Knowledge:

None

Course Goals and Learning Outcomes:**PURPOSE**

This course is designed to 1) introduce students to varied methods and theories of assistance in grief recovery; 2) utilize current research findings; 3) allow the student to explore myths of grief; 4) identify short-term energy relievers that hinder grief recovery; 5) explore communication of grief in a concise step-by-step process; and 6) teach basic principles and active steps toward grief recovery.

General Learning Objectives (Course Goals):

This course seeks to:

1. Critically evaluate current theories and methods of grief and bereavement.
2. Review definitions and processes of grief and recovery.
3. Explore and offer guidelines in tragic circumstances of suicide, murder, birth of an infant with disability, death of an infant, AIDS and other specific losses which are perceived unnatural.
4. Enable students to fully understand the grief process functions.
5. Promote understanding of intellectual and emotional process of grief recovery.
6. Encourage students to help others learn to grieve in a healthful way.

Specific Behavioral Objectives (Learning Outcomes):

As a result of the activities and study in this course, the student should be able to:

1. Identify psychological theories of grief and bereavement.

2. Recognize validity and reliability of current research findings.
3. Be aware of a healthy grief process.
4. Demonstrate recovery as an active choice.
5. Help students recognize stages and common responses.
6. Decipher short term energy relief behaviors.
7. Investigate myths on various ways to deal with grief.
8. Implement assignments in preparation of active grief.
9. Identify incomplete grief.
10. Evaluate and apply various recovery components.
11. Discuss the difference of completion from forgetting.
1. Apply knowledge of appropriate response to assist grievers.

Major Topics:

- A. Current grief research methods and theories
- B. Confusion about stages
- C. Common responses
- D. How time affects grief
- E. Loss by questionable or unnatural cause
- F. Myths about dealing with grief
- G. Professional distortions
- H. Academy Award Recovery
- I. Preparing for change
- J. Choosing to recover
- K. Setting guidelines of recovery
- L. Identify short term energy relief behaviors
- A. Guidelines for working on specific losses

Course Assessments:

- A. **Text/Media.** All text/media is evaluated in the threaded discussions and assignments.
- B. **Threaded Discussions.** The threaded discussions are an opportunity for students to demonstrate their knowledge of the course material and interact with fellow students. Just doing an initial post and/or response will not guarantee any point value. Discussions will be evaluated as follows: a) on the depth of engagement with the discussion topic and/or issue; b) on the depth of understanding of the topic and/or issue; and c) on the depth of interaction with the other students. An initial post is required (evaluation of “a” and “b” above) and then response posts interacting to other students’ initial posts (evaluation of “c” above). Once the discussion deadline is reached, there will be no further opportunity to attain points for that discussion. If you have further questions on how these are evaluated, please contact your instructor.
- C. **Unit Assignments.** TBD.

Evaluation:

- | | |
|-------------------------|-----|
| A. Threaded Discussions | 100 |
| A. Unit Assignments | 900 |

Grading Scale:		
The standardized grading scale provides a uniform foundation from which to assess your performance.		
Grade	Quality Points per Credit	Score
A	4.0	930 - 1000
A-	3.7	900 - 929
B+	3.3	870 - 899
B	3.0	830 – 869
B-	2.7	800 – 829
C+	2.3	770 – 799
C	2.0	730 – 769
C-	1.7	700 – 729
D+	1.3	670 – 699
D	1.0	600 – 669
F	.0	0 - 599
Letter Grade Equivalencies:		
<p>A = Clearly stands out as excellent performance. Has unusually sharp insights into material and initiates thoughtful questions. Sees many sides of an issue. Articulates well and writes logically and clearly. Integrates ideas previously learned from this and other disciplines. Anticipates next steps in progression of ideas. Example "A" work should be of such nature that it could be put on reserve for all cohort members to review and emulate. The "A" cohort member is, in fact, an example for others to follow.</p>		
<p>B = Demonstrates a solid comprehension of the subject matter and always accomplishes all course requirements. Serves as an active participant and listener. Communicates orally and in writing at an acceptable level for a cohort member. Work shows intuition and creativity. Example "B" work indicates good quality of performance and is given in recognition for solid work; a "B" should be considered a good grade and awarded to those who submit assignments of quality less than the exemplary work described above.</p>		
<p>C = Quality and quantity of work is average. Has average comprehension, communication skills, or initiative. Requirements of the assignments are addressed at least minimally.</p>		
<p>D = Quality and quantity of work is below average. Has marginal comprehension, communication skills, or initiative. Requirements of the assignments are addressed at below acceptable levels.</p>		
<p>F = Quality and quantity of work is unacceptable and does not qualify the student to progress to a more advanced level of work.</p>		
Unit and Time Distribution:		
The time to complete each unit is approximately 14-17 hours per week on average for a three hour course. Actual assignment completion times will vary. A more detailed breakdown of each assignment can be found within the course.		

POLICIES

Attendance Policy:

At Lee University student success is directly related to the student actively attending and engaging in the course. Online courses are no different from classroom courses in this regard; however, participation must be defined in a different manner.

Online courses will have weekly mechanisms for student participation, which can be documented by submission/completion of assignments, participation in threaded discussions, and/or specific communication with the instructor as outlined within the syllabus.

Academic Honesty Policy/Information:

Cheating is defined as the use or attempted use of unauthorized materials or receiving unauthorized assistance or communication during any academic exercise.

Examples of cheating include:

- Submitting work for academic evaluation that is not your own.
- Receiving assistance from another person during an examination.
- Using prepared notes or materials during an examination.
- Permitting another student to copy your work.
- Plagiarism.
- Falsification.
- Other misrepresentations of academic achievement submitted for evaluation or a grade.

As stated in the LEE UNIVERSITY Catalog, plagiarism is presenting as your own work the words, ideas, opinions, theories, or thoughts which are not common knowledge. Students who present others' words or ideas as their own without fair attribution (documentation) are guilty of plagiarizing. Unfair attribution includes, but is not limited to, a direct quotation of all or part of another's words without appropriately identifying the source. It is also unfair attribution to have included a source within a Works Cited page without having carefully cited the source within the text of the document. Plagiarism also includes, but is not limited to, the following acts when performed without fair attribution:

- a. directly quoting all or part of another person's words without quotation marks, as appropriate to the discipline.
- b. paraphrasing all or part of another person's words without documentation.
- c. stating an idea, theory, or formula as your own when it actually originated with another person.
- d. purchasing (or receiving in any other manner) a term paper or other assignment, which is the work of another person, and submitting that work as if it were one's own.

Late Policy:

- No credit is available for postings of any kind made in the **Threaded Discussions** after a given Unit ends.
- If your faculty approves your submission of late assignments, each assignment score will be penalized 10% per day up to five days late. After the fifth day, late assignments will not be

accepted. (Note: An assignment is a paper, a project, a team presentation, etc., **not** a discussion.)

- No late assignments will be accepted after the close of the final unit.

EXPECTATIONS

Faculty Expectations of Students:

- Have consistent access to a computer and possess baseline computer and information skills prior to taking online courses.
- Log into their courses within 24 hours of the beginning of the session to confirm their participation. (Students who register after the session has begun will be responsible for any assignments or material already covered.)
- Take an active role in each unit, participating fully in discussions, assignments and other activities throughout the entire session. If some event interferes with that participation, the student is responsible for notifying the instructor in advance.
- Review the course syllabus and other preliminary course materials thoroughly as early as possible during the first few days of the course.
- Be responsible for raising any questions or seeking clarification about these materials, if necessary, within the first week of the session.
- Frequently check the course calendar for due dates.
- Submit assignments and papers on time, and take tests by the posted dates. Acceptance of late work and any penalties for late submissions are up to the discretion of the instructor, based on the expectations outlined in the course syllabus.
- Contribute meaningful, timely comments to online discussions according to guidelines provided.
- Contribute substantively to group assignments (if required in course).
- Check for University announcements each time you log onto the LMS. These postings are critical.
- Use Lee email address.
- Complete the "Student Survey of Instruction" for each course to evaluate the instructor and the course.

Students' Expectations of Faculty:

- The opportunity to be active participants in a stimulating and challenging education that is global in scope, interactive in process and diverse in content and approach.
- A friendly, respectful, open, and encouraging learning environment.
- A course outline or syllabus that clearly provides information regarding course content, teaching methods, course objectives, grading, attendance/participation policies, due dates, and student assessment guidelines.
- Instructors who are responsive and available to discuss within 48 hours students' progress, course content, assignments, etc. at mutually convenient times from the first day of the session through the last day of the session. (Check the faculty contact information regarding weekends and holidays.)

- Individual instructor's contact information, schedules, availability, and procedural details are located within the course.
- To have access to instructor feedback and grading on projects, exams, papers, quizzes, etc., within ten (10) days of assignment due date so students are able to determine where they have made errors or need additional work.
- Final grade/feedback provided within ten (10) days after the last date of course.

IMPORTANT STUDENT INFORMATION

Special Needs:

Lee University, in conjunction with the Academic Support Office, works to ensure students with documented disabilities have access to educational opportunities. Students who need accommodations based on a disability should visit the Academic Support Office, call (423) 614-8181, or email academicsupport@leeuniversity.edu. It is the student's responsibility to share the Accommodations Form with the instructor in order to initiate the accommodations.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Knowledge Base/Working Bibliography (Reading List):

- Baughner B., & Jordan, J. (2002). *After Suicide Loss: Coping with Your Grief*. Kristina J. Baughner.
- Birtchnell, J. (1970). "The Relationship between attempted suicide, depression and parent death." *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 11: 307-313.
- Bowlby, J. (1980). *Attachment and Loss: Loss, Sadness and Depression. Vol. III*. New York: Basic Books.
- Breen, L. J. (2010-2011) Professionals' experience of grief counseling: Implications for bridging the gap between research and practice. *Omega*, 62(3): 285-303.
- Byrd, Genie (2018) *Charlie Loses a Friend*.
- Clayton, P. J. (1990) "Bereavement and Depression". *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 5: 34-38. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, Fourth edition. Washington, D.C. American Psychiatric Association, 1994.
- Engel, G. L., (1961) "Is grief a disease? A challenge for medical research" *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 23: 18-22.
- Frankl, V. E., (1963) *Man's Search for Meaning*. New York: Simon and Schuster.
- Horowitz, M. J., Siegel, B., Holen, A., Bonnano, G. A., & Millbrath, C. & Stinson, C.H. (1997). "Diagnostic criteria for complicated grief disorder". *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 151: 1815-1816.
- Horowitz, M.J., Bonnano, G. A., & Holen, A.(1993) "Pathological Grief; Diagnosis and Explanation." *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 55: 260-273.
- Horowitz, M., Marmar, C., & Weiss, D. S. (1984) "Brief psychotherapy of bereavement reactions: The relationship of process to outcome" *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 41: 438-448.
- Jacobs, S. (1993). *Pathologic grief; Maladaptation to loss*, Washington, D.C., American Psychiatric Press.
- Jacobs, Selby (1999). *Traumatic Grief: Diagnosis, Treatment, and Prevention*, Castletown, NY: Hamilton Printing Company.

- James, John & Friedman, Russell (2009). *The Grief Recovery Handbook: 20th Anniversary Expanded Edition*. New York: Harper Collins.
- James, John & Friedman, Russell (2001). *When Children Grieve*. New York: Harper Collins.
- Kaprio, J., Kosanvuo, M & Rita, H. (1987). "Mortality after Bereavement: A perspective study of 95,647 widowed persons." *American Journal of Public Health* 77: 283-287.
- Kubler-Ross, E. (1969). *On death and dying*, New York: Macmillan.
- Lewis, C.S. (1961). *A grief observed*, Greenwich, CT: Seabury Press.
- Lindemann, E. (1944). "Symptomatology and management of acute grief." *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 101, 141-148.
- Nagy, M. H. (1998). "The child's view of death." *Journal of Genetic Psychology*, 73: 3-27.
- Neimeyer, Robert (1998) *Lessons of loss: a guide to coping*, New York: McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.
- Osterweis, M., Soloman, F. & Green, M. (eds) (1984). *Bereavement, reactions, consequences and care*. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press.
- Parkes, C. M. (1998). *Bereavement: Studies of grief in adult life*, Madison, CT: International Universities Press, Inc.
- Piper, William E., Ogradniczuk, John S. and Joyce, Anthony S. (2010). *Short-Term Group Therapies for Complicated Grief: Two Research-Based Models*. American Psychological Association (APA).
- Rando, T. A. (1993) *Treatment of complicated mourning*, Champaign, IL: Research Press.
- Schupp, Linda (2003). *Grief-Normal, Complicated, Traumatic*. PESI.
- Schupp, Linda J. (1992). *Is there life after loss?* Lakewood, CO.
- Sireling, L., Cohen, D., & Marks, I. (1998). "Guided mourning for morbid grief: a controlled replication" *Behavior therapy*, 19: 121-132.
- Staudacher, C. (1991). *Men and Grief*, Oakland, CA: New Harbinger Publications.
- Stroebe, M. S., Stroebe, W. & Hansson, R. O. (Eds.) (1993) *Handbook of bereavement*. Cambridge, New York and Victoria: Cambridge University Press.
- Winokuer, Howard R. & Harris, Darcy L. (2012) *Principles and Practice of Grief Counseling*. Spring Publishing Company.
- Worden, W. J. (1991). *Grief Counseling and Grief Therapy: a Handbook for the Mental Health Practitioner*, New York: Springer.
- Wretmark, G. (1959). "A study in grief reaction," *Acta Psychiatrica et Neurologica Scandinavia Supplement*, 136: 292.
- Zisook, S. & DeVaul, R. A. (1985) "Unresolved Grief." *American Journal of Psychoanalysis*, 45: 370- 379.
- Zucker, Robert (1999). *Living with Grief: At work, At School, At Worship*. Washington, D.C.: Hospice Foundation of America.