



DIVISION OF ADULT LEARNING

SYLLABUS

SOCI-410: RACE AND ETHNIC RELATIONS

Date: 12/03/2018

| Table of Contents: | |
|--|----------|
| UNIVERSITY MISSION STATEMENT: | 3 |
| CATALOG DESCRIPTION: | 3 |
| REQUIRED TEXT(S) AND/OR SUPPORTING RESOURCES: | 3 |
| PREREQUISITE SKILLS AND KNOWLEDGE: | 3 |
| COURSE GOALS AND LEARNING OUTCOMES: | 3 |
| MAJOR TOPICS: | 4 |
| COURSE ASSESSMENTS: | 4 |
| EVALUATION: | 5 |
| GRADING SCALE: | 5 |
| LETTER GRADE EQUIVALENCIES: | 6 |
| UNIT AND TIME DISTRIBUTION: | 6 |
| POLICIES | 6 |
| ATTENDANCE POLICY: | 6 |
| ACADEMIC HONESTY POLICY/INFORMATION: | 6 |
| LATE POLICY: | 7 |
| EXPECTATIONS | 7 |
| FACULTY EXPECTATIONS OF STUDENTS: | 7 |
| STUDENTS' EXPECTATIONS OF FACULTY: | 8 |
| IMPORTANT STUDENT INFORMATION | 8 |
| SPECIAL NEEDS: | 8 |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY | 9 |
| KNOWLEDGE BASE/WORKING BIBLIOGRAPHY (READING LIST): | 9 |

University Mission Statement:

Lee University is a Christian institution which offers liberal arts and professional education on both the baccalaureate and graduate levels through residential and distance programs. It seeks to provide education that integrates biblical truth as revealed in the Holy Scriptures with truth discovered through the study of arts and sciences and in the practice of various professions. A personal commitment to Jesus Christ as Savior is the controlling perspective from which the educational enterprise is carried out. The foundational purpose of all educational programs is to develop within the students knowledge, appreciation, understanding, ability and skills which will prepare them for responsible Christian living in a complex world.

Catalog Description:

This course examines the significance of ethnic minorities in American society and the world with an introduction to sociological and anthropological theory as well as an interpretation of dominant minority relations.

Required Text(s) and/or Supporting Resources:**Required Text:**

Dyson, M.E. (2017). *Tears we cannot stop: A sermon to white America*. St. Martin's Press. ISBN: 978090889432.

Golash-Boza, T. (2018). *Race and racism: A critical approach*. NC: Oxford University Press. ISBN: 9781250135995.

Additional Supporting Resources:

Resources included in the Learning Management System (LMS).

Prerequisite Skills and Knowledge:

SOCI-200

Course Goals and Learning Outcomes:**PURPOSE**

The United States is considered to be one of the most ethnically diverse countries in world. So diverse, that many volumes would be required even to begin describing the various ethnic groups and the relationship among them within the larger American society. This course is designed to provide students with the conceptual and theoretical frameworks for the analysis of racial, ethnic, and minority group dynamics through social historical approach in ethnic relations in the United States. The course will tackle three major components in the study of race and ethnicity. The first part surveys the nature of ethnic relations including concepts and the pairing of power and inequality, prejudice and discrimination, and assimilation and pluralism. The second part discusses ethnicity in the United States. This section surveys the different subgroups of ethnicity and their socio-historical experience. The third part will briefly cover ethnic relations in global perspective and effects of colonialism and post-colonialism in other parts of the globe.

General Learning Objectives (Course Goals):

This course seeks to:

1. Aid student explore the meanings of race, ethnicity and oppression in the United States.

2. Develop an understanding of the theories and concepts of sociology which facilitate our examination of race and ethnicity.
3. Further our understanding of the role of social institutions in constructing categories of race and ethnicity and transforming them into systems of inequality.
4. Examine the impact of constructing a system of stratification based on race and ethnicity on the life chances of members of various racial and ethnic groups.
5. Understand the impact of past policies of racism and discrimination on the present lives of members of various racial and ethnic groups.
6. Explore the heritage, culture, and contributions of different ethnic groups in the United States.
7. Explore the experiences of different ethnic groups in the United States and how they maintain a sense of identity.
8. Explore the ways in which individuals, groups, and institutions are working to transform systems of racial and ethnic inequality.

Specific Behavioral Objectives (Learning Outcomes):

As a result of the activities and study in this course, the student should be able to:

1. Articulate the complexities of racial meanings and labels, and how it impacts historically the contemporary ethnic and racial landscape.
2. Understand various school of thoughts on how “race and ethnic” discourse have been crucial in explaining the variants approach to race and ethnic relations in the U.S. both academically and policy.
3. Develop a sociological imagination that will broaden their appreciation for diversity.
4. Understanding and master some of the concepts used in explaining multicultural experience and the lived in inequalities of racial/ethnic relations.
5. Develop a personal orientation that is intellectually and emotionally satisfying, which integrates Christian values and scientific knowledge.

Major Topics:

- A. Origin of race
- B. Race from 1840s-1920s
- C. Racial ideologies
- D. Spread of ideologies
- E. Travel
- F. Color and skin color
- G. White privilege
- H. Theories of racism
- I. Educational inequality
- J. Income and labor market
- K. Housing
- L. Criminal Justice
- M. Health

Course Assessments:

- A. **Text/Media.** All text/media is evaluated in the threaded discussions and assignments.
- B. **Threaded Discussions.** The threaded discussions are an opportunity for students to demonstrate their knowledge of the course material and interact with fellow students. Just

doing an initial post and/or response will not guarantee any point value. Discussions will be evaluated as follows: a) on the depth of engagement with the discussion topic and/or issue; b) on the depth of understanding of the topic and/or issue; and c) on the depth of interaction with the other students. An initial post is required (evaluation of “a” and “b” above) and then response posts interacting to other students’ initial posts (evaluation of “c” above). Once the discussion deadline is reached, there will be no further opportunity to attain points for that discussion. If you have further questions on how these are evaluated, please contact your instructor.

- C. **Unit Assignments (Writing).** There are two (2) writing assignments (Units 1 and 5) that will focus on the meanings, origins and consequences of Race and Racisms and Education as an agent of equality.
- D. **Unit Assignment (Interview).** The students will interview someone of another race or ethnic group, writing a three page interview response. This interview will focus on the question: “How does it feel to be minority?” This assignment is due in Unit 5.
- E. **Course Project.** The students will write a major academic paper titled “Crossing the Color-line.” This paper will be graded in two parts. The first part (due in Unit 3) will carry over into the final unit (Unit 7). This will allow students an opportunity for revisions after a critique and assessment by the instructor.
- F. **Exams.** There are three (3) exams over this course (Units 2, 4 and 6).

Evaluation:

| | |
|-------------------------|-----|
| A. Threaded Discussions | 280 |
| B. Unit Assignments | 240 |
| C. Course Project | 300 |
| D. Exams | 180 |

Grading Scale:

The standardized grading scale provides a uniform foundation from which to assess your performance.

| Grade | Quality Points per Credit | Score |
|-------|---------------------------|------------|
| A | 4.0 | 930 - 1000 |
| A- | 3.7 | 900 - 929 |
| B+ | 3.3 | 870 - 899 |
| B | 3.0 | 830 – 869 |
| B- | 2.7 | 800 – 829 |
| C+ | 2.3 | 770 – 799 |
| C | 2.0 | 730 – 769 |
| C- | 1.7 | 700 – 729 |
| D+ | 1.3 | 670 – 699 |
| D | 1.0 | 600 – 669 |
| F | .0 | 0 - 599 |

Letter Grade Equivalencies:

A = Clearly stands out as excellent performance. Has unusually sharp insights into material and initiates thoughtful questions. Sees many sides of an issue. Articulates well and writes logically and clearly. Integrates ideas previously learned from this and other disciplines. Anticipates next steps in progression of ideas. Example "A" work should be of such nature that it could be put on reserve for all cohort members to review and emulate. The "A" cohort member is, in fact, an example for others to follow.

B = Demonstrates a solid comprehension of the subject matter and always accomplishes all course requirements. Serves as an active participant and listener. Communicates orally and in writing at an acceptable level for a cohort member. Work shows intuition and creativity. Example "B" work indicates good quality of performance and is given in recognition for solid work; a "B" should be considered a good grade and awarded to those who submit assignments of quality less than the exemplary work described above.

C = Quality and quantity of work is average. Has average comprehension, communication skills, or initiative. Requirements of the assignments are addressed at least minimally.

D = Quality and quantity of work is below average. Has marginal comprehension, communication skills, or initiative. Requirements of the assignments are addressed at below acceptable levels.

F = Quality and quantity of work is unacceptable and does not qualify the student to progress to a more advanced level of work.

Unit and Time Distribution:

The time to complete each unit is approximately 14-17 hours per week on average for a three hour course. Actual assignment completion times will vary. A more detailed breakdown of each assignment can be found within the course.

POLICIES

Attendance Policy:

At Lee University student success is directly related to the student actively attending and engaging in the course. Online courses are no different from classroom courses in this regard; however, participation must be defined in a different manner.

Online courses will have weekly mechanisms for student participation, which can be documented by submission/completion of assignments, participation in threaded discussions, and/or specific communication with the instructor as outlined within the syllabus.

Academic Honesty Policy/Information:

Cheating is defined as the use or attempted use of unauthorized materials or receiving unauthorized assistance or communication during any academic exercise.

Examples of cheating include:

- Submitting work for academic evaluation that is not your own.
- Receiving assistance from another person during an examination.
- Using prepared notes or materials during an examination.

- Permitting another student to copy your work.
- Plagiarism.
- Falsification.
- Other misrepresentations of academic achievement submitted for evaluation or a grade.

As stated in the LEE UNIVERSITY Catalog, plagiarism is presenting as your own work the words, ideas, opinions, theories, or thoughts which are not common knowledge. Students who present others' words or ideas as their own without fair attribution (documentation) are guilty of plagiarizing. Unfair attribution includes, but is not limited to, a direct quotation of all or part of another's words without appropriately identifying the source. It is also unfair attribution to have included a source within a Works Cited page without having carefully cited the source within the text of the document. Plagiarism also includes, but is not limited to, the following acts when performed without fair attribution:

- a. directly quoting all or part of another person's words without quotation marks, as appropriate to the discipline.
- b. paraphrasing all or part of another person's words without documentation.
- c. stating an idea, theory, or formula as your own when it actually originated with another person.
- d. purchasing (or receiving in any other manner) a term paper or other assignment, which is the work of another person, and submitting that work as if it were one's own.

Late Policy:

- No credit is available for postings of any kind made in the **Threaded Discussions** after a given Unit ends.
- If your faculty approves your submission of late assignments, each assignment score will be penalized 10% per day up to five days late. After the fifth day, late assignments will not be accepted. (Note: An assignment is a paper, a project, a team presentation, etc., **not** a discussion.)
- No late assignments will be accepted after the close of the final unit.

EXPECTATIONS

Faculty Expectations of Students:

- Have consistent access to a computer and possess baseline computer and information skills prior to taking online courses.
- Log into their courses within 24 hours of the beginning of the session to confirm their participation. (Students who register after the session has begun will be responsible for any assignments or material already covered.)
- Take an active role in each unit, participating fully in discussions, assignments and other activities throughout the entire session. If some event interferes with that participation, the student is responsible for notifying the instructor in advance.
- Review the course syllabus and other preliminary course materials thoroughly as early as possible during the first few days of the course.

- Be responsible for raising any questions or seeking clarification about these materials, if necessary, within the first week of the session.
- Frequently check the course calendar for due dates.
- Submit assignments and papers on time, and take tests by the posted dates. Acceptance of late work and any penalties for late submissions are up to the discretion of the instructor, based on the expectations outlined in the course syllabus.
- Contribute meaningful, timely comments to online discussions according to guidelines provided.
- Contribute substantively to group assignments (if required in course).
- Check for University announcements each time you log onto the LMS. These postings are critical.
- Use Lee email address.
- Complete the "Student Survey of Instruction" for each course to evaluate the instructor and the course.

Students' Expectations of Faculty:

- The opportunity to be active participants in a stimulating and challenging education that is global in scope, interactive in process and diverse in content and approach.
- A friendly, respectful, open, and encouraging learning environment.
- A course outline or syllabus that clearly provides information regarding course content, teaching methods, course objectives, grading, attendance/participation policies, due dates, and student assessment guidelines.
- Instructors who are responsive and available to discuss within 48 hours students' progress, course content, assignments, etc. at mutually convenient times from the first day of the session through the last day of the session. (Check the faculty contact information regarding weekends and holidays.)
- Individual instructor's contact information, schedules, availability, and procedural details are located within the course.
- To have access to instructor feedback and grading on projects, exams, papers, quizzes, etc., within ten (10) days of assignment due date so students are able to determine where they have made errors or need additional work.
- Final grade/feedback provided within ten (10) days after the last date of course.

IMPORTANT STUDENT INFORMATION

Special Needs:

Lee University, in conjunction with the Academic Support Office, works to ensure students with documented disabilities have access to educational opportunities. Students who need accommodations based on a disability should visit the Academic Support Office, call (423) 614-8181, or email academicsupport@leeuniversity.edu. It is the student's responsibility to share the Accommodations Form with the instructor in order to initiate the accommodations.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Knowledge Base/Working Bibliography (Reading List):

- Alba, Richard D. 1990. *Ethnic Identity: The Transformation of White America*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Allport, G. [1988] 1954. *The Nature of Prejudice*. Reprinted, Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Baca Zinn, Maxine, and Bonnie Thornton-Dill. 1996. "Theorizing Differences from Multiracial Feminism." *Feminist Studies* 22:321-31.
- Bobo, Lawrence and Vincent L. Hutchings. 1996. "Perceptions of Racial Group Competition: Extending Blumer's Theory of Group Position to a Multiracial Social Context." *American Sociological Review* 61:951-972.
- Bonacich, Edna. 1972. "A Theory of Ethnic Antagonism: The Split Labor Market." *American Sociological Review* 37:547-59.
- Bonilla-Silva, Eduardo. 1996. "Rethinking Racism: Toward a Structural Interpretation." *American Sociological Review* 62:465-80.
- Bonilla-Silva, Eduardo. 2003. *Racism Without Racists: Color-Blind Racism and the Persistence of Racial Inequality in the United States*. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield.
- Collins, Patricia Hill. 1991. *Black Feminist Thought: Knowledge, Consciousness, and the Politics of Empowerment*. New York: Routledge.
- Davis, Angela. 1993. *Women, Race, & Class*. New York: NY: Vintage Books.
- DuBois, W.E.B. [1903] 1993. *The Souls of Black Folk*. Reprint, New York: Knopf.
- Essed, Philomena and David Theo Goldberg. 2002. *Race Critical Theories*. Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Espiritu, Yen L. 1992. *Asian American Pan Ethnicity: Bridging Institutions and Identities*. (Chapters 1-3, Pp. 1-81) Philadelphia, PA: Temple University Press.
- Feagin, Joe and Clairece Booher Feagin. 1978. "Institutionalized Discrimination." Pp. 19-41 in *Discrimination American Style: Institutional Racism and Sexism*. Malabar, FL: Robert E. Krieger.
- Furstenberg, Frank. 2007. "The Making of the Black Family: Race and Class in Qualitative Studies in the 20th Century." *Annual Review of Sociology* 33: 429-448.
- Hirschman, Charles. 1983. "America's Melting Pot Reconsidered." *Annual Review of Sociology* 9:397-423.
- Hirschman, Charles. 2004. "The Origins and Demise of the Concept of Race." *Population and Development Review* 30:385-415.
- Johnson, Allan. 2006. *Privilege, Power, and Difference*. Boston: McGraw-Hill.
- Kaplowitz, Stan A., Bradley J. Fisher, and Clifford L. Broman. 2003. "How Accurate are Perceptions of Social Statistics about Blacks and Whites?: Effects of Race and Education." *Public Opinion Quarterly* 67:237-43.
- McKee, James. 1993. *Sociology and the Race Problem: The Failure of a Perspective*. Urbana, IL: University of Illinois.
- Merton, Robert. 1995. "Discrimination and the American Creed." Pp. 33-55 in *Sources: Noteable Selection in Race and Ethnicity*, edited by Adalberto Aguirre, Jr., and David Vaker. Guilford, CT: Dushkin.
- Omi, Michael and Howard Winant. 1994. *Racial Formation in the United States: From the 1960s to the 1990s*. NY: Routledge.
- Sears, David O. 1988. "Symbolic Racism." Pp. 53-84 in *Eliminating Racism: Profiles in Controversy*. New York: Plenum.

- Snipp, Mathew C. 2003. Racial measurement in the American census: Past Practices and Implications from the Future." *Annual Review of Sociology* 29:563-88
- Steinberg, Stephen. 1989. *The Ethnic Myth: Race, Ethnicity and Class in America*. Boston: Beacon.
- Waters, Mary C. 1996. "Optional Ethnicities: For Whites Only?" Pp. 444-454 in *Origins and Destinies: Immigration, Race and Ethnicity in America*, edited by Sylvia Pedraza and Rubén Rumbaut. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth Press.
- Weber, Max. (1978). "Ethnic Groups" in *Economy and Society* (Pp. 385-398), translated by Guenther Roth and Claus Wittich. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Winant, Howard. 2000. "Race and Race Theory." *Annual Review of Sociology* 26:169-85.