



**DIVISION OF ADULT LEARNING**

**SYLLABUS**

**THEO-435: Contemporary Protestant Theology**

Date: 09/27/2016

<b>Table of Contents:</b>	
<b>UNIVERSITY MISSION STATEMENT:</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>CATALOG DESCRIPTION:</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>REQUIRED TEXT(S) AND/OR SUPPORTING RESOURCES:</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>PREREQUISITE SKILLS AND KNOWLEDGE:</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>COURSE GOALS AND LEARNING OUTCOMES:</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>MAJOR TOPICS:</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>COURSE ASSESSMENTS:</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>EVALUATION:</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>GRADING SCALE:</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>LETTER GRADE EQUIVALENCIES:</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>UNIT AND TIME DISTRIBUTION:</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>POLICIES</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>ATTENDANCE POLICY:</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>ACADEMIC HONESTY POLICY/INFORMATION:</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>LATE POLICY:</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>EXPECTATIONS</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>FACULTY EXPECTATIONS OF STUDENTS:</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>STUDENTS' EXPECTATIONS OF FACULTY:</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>IMPORTANT STUDENT INFORMATION</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>SPECIAL NEEDS:</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>KNOWLEDGE BASE/WORKING BIBLIOGRAPHY (READING LIST):</b>	<b>8</b>

**University Mission Statement:**

Lee University is a Christian institution which offers liberal arts and professional education on both the baccalaureate and graduate levels through residential and distance programs. It seeks to provide education that integrates biblical truth as revealed in the Holy Scriptures with truth discovered through the study of arts and sciences and in the practice of various professions. A personal commitment to Jesus Christ as Savior is the controlling perspective from which the educational enterprise is carried out. The foundational purpose of all educational programs is to develop within the students knowledge, appreciation, understanding, ability and skills which will prepare them for responsible Christian living in a complex world.

**Catalog Description:**

This course is a study of major movements, people and issues in contemporary religious thought, evaluating them in the light of evangelical Christianity. The course seeks to clarify the structure and method of contemporary theological thinking and explores the relationship between contemporary religious thought and the broader intellectual and cultural context of modern life.

**Required Text(s) and/or Supporting Resources:****Required Text:**

Olson, Roger E. *The Journey of Modern Theology: From Reconstruction to Deconstruction*. Downers Gove: InterVarsity Press, 2013. ISBN: 9780830840212.

Donald K. McKim, ed. *Westminster Dictionary of Theological Terms*. Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press, 1996. ISBN: 9780664255114.

**Additional Supporting Resources:**

Resources provided in the Learning Management System (LMS).

**Prerequisite Skills and Knowledge:**

THEO-230 and THEO-231

**Course Goals and Learning Outcomes:****PURPOSE**

This course is intended to provide an overview of the significant people and trends of the theological landscape in the past two centuries. Such an overview will expose students to the variety of theological approaches throughout the world and will thereby more adequately equip students for an understanding of the current theological scene.

**General Learning Objectives (Course Goals):**

*This course seeks to:*

1. Provide an overview of significant theologians and trends of thought in contemporary theology.
2. Explain the connections between various theologians' expressions of doctrine throughout the modern era.
3. Demonstrate the cultural and historical setting of each theologian's doctrinal expression.
4. Relate the historical development of these doctrines to the formulation and meaning of theology in the contemporary church and academy.

**Specific Behavioral Objectives** (Learning Outcomes):

*As a result of the activities and study in this course, the student should be able to:*

1. Explain the cultural and historical background of each theologian's thought.
2. Identify the philosophical and theological bases for each theological trend.
3. Demonstrate an understanding of the theological connections between major Christian thinkers from 1800 to the present.
4. Compare and contrast various theologians' understandings of the doctrines studied.

**Major Topics:**

- A. Introduction to Contemporary Theology
- B. Friedrich Schleiermacher
- C. Ludwig Feuerbach
- D. Karl Barth
- E. Jürgen Moltmann
- F. Wolfhart Pannenberg
- G. Paul Tillich
- H. Gustavo Gutiérrez
- I. James H. Cone
- J. Feminist Theology

**Course Assessments:**

- A. Text/Media.** All text/media is evaluated in the threaded discussions and assignments.
- B. Threaded Discussions.** The threaded discussions are an opportunity for students to demonstrate their knowledge of the course material and interact with fellow students. Just doing an initial post and/or response will not guarantee any point value. Discussions will be evaluated as follows: a) on the depth of engagement with the discussion topic and/or issue; b) on the depth of understanding of the topic and/or issue; and c) on the depth of interaction with the other students. An initial post is required (evaluation of "a" and "b" above) and then response posts interacting to other students' initial posts (evaluation of "c" above). Once the discussion deadline is reached, there will be no further opportunity to attain points for that discussion. If you have further questions on how these are evaluated, please contact your instructor.
- C. Unit Assignments.** A reflection paper is required for each unit of the course. The paper will be based upon supplementary material provided for you that will take you beyond the scope of the information covered in your textbook. In each reflection paper assignment, you will be asked to respond to questions that will help you make connections with the course material and formulate a personal response to various topics in contemporary theology.
- D. Course Project.** A 12-15 page research paper on a contemporary Protestant theology topic of your choice (within the scope of the course and subject to instructor approval) is required. Please consult the specific assignment for additional instructions.
- E. Exams.** There will be two essay exams. Each exam will cover material from the reading assignments, and any other course materials. The Mid-Term will cover Units 1-4, the Final will cover Units 5-7.

**Evaluation:**

- |                         |     |
|-------------------------|-----|
| A. Threaded Discussions | 330 |
| B. Unit Assignments     | 210 |

C. Course Project	260	
D. Exams	200	
<b>Grading Scale:</b>		
The standardized grading scale provides a uniform foundation from which to assess your performance.		
Grade	Quality Points per Credit	Score
A	4.0	930 - 1000
A-	3.7	900 - 929
B+	3.3	870 - 899
B	3.0	830 – 869
B-	2.7	800 – 829
C+	2.3	770 – 799
C	2.0	730 – 769
C-	1.7	700 – 729
D+	1.3	670 – 699
D	1.0	600 – 669
F	.0	0 - 599
<b>Letter Grade Equivalencies:</b>		
<p><b>A</b> = Clearly stands out as excellent performance. Has unusually sharp insights into material and initiates thoughtful questions. Sees many sides of an issue. Articulates well and writes logically and clearly. Integrates ideas previously learned from this and other disciplines. Anticipates next steps in progression of ideas. Example "A" work should be of such nature that it could be put on reserve for all cohort members to review and emulate. The "A" cohort member is, in fact, an example for others to follow.</p>		
<p><b>B</b> = Demonstrates a solid comprehension of the subject matter and always accomplishes all course requirements. Serves as an active participant and listener. Communicates orally and in writing at an acceptable level for a cohort member. Work shows intuition and creativity. Example "B" work indicates good quality of performance and is given in recognition for solid work; a "B" should be considered a good grade and awarded to those who submit assignments of quality less than the exemplary work described above.</p>		
<p><b>C</b> = Quality and quantity of work is average. Has average comprehension, communication skills, or initiative. Requirements of the assignments are addressed at least minimally.</p>		
<p><b>D</b> = Quality and quantity of work is below average. Has marginal comprehension, communication skills, or initiative. Requirements of the assignments are addressed at below acceptable levels.</p>		
<p><b>F</b> = Quality and quantity of work is unacceptable and does not qualify the student to progress to a more advanced level of work.</p>		

**Unit and Time Distribution:**

The time to complete each unit is approximately 14-17 hours per week on average for a three hour course. Actual assignment completion times will vary. A more detailed breakdown of each assignment can be found within the course.

**POLICIES****Attendance Policy:**

At Lee University student success is directly related to the student actively attending and engaging in the course. Online courses are no different from classroom courses in this regard; however, participation must be defined in a different manner.

Online courses will have weekly mechanisms for student participation, which can be documented by submission/completion of assignments, participation in threaded discussions, and/or specific communication with the instructor as outlined within the syllabus.

**Academic Honesty Policy/Information:**

Cheating is defined as the use or attempted use of unauthorized materials or receiving unauthorized assistance or communication during any academic exercise.

Examples of cheating include:

- Submitting work for academic evaluation that is not the your own.
- Receiving assistance from another person during an examination.
- Using prepared notes or materials during an examination.
- Permitting another student to copy your work.
- Plagiarism.
- Falsification.
- Other misrepresentations of academic achievement submitted for evaluation or a grade.

As stated in the LEE UNIVERSITY Catalog, plagiarism is presenting as your own work the words, ideas, opinions, theories, or thoughts which are not common knowledge. Students who present others' words or ideas as their own without fair attribution (documentation) are guilty of plagiarizing. Unfair attribution includes, but is not limited to, a direct quotation of all or part of another's words without appropriately identifying the source. It is also unfair attribution to have included a source within a Works Cited page without having carefully cited the source within the text of the document.

Plagiarism also includes, but is not limited to, the following acts when performed without fair attribution:

- a. directly quoting all or part of another person's words without quotation marks, as appropriate to the discipline.
- b. paraphrasing all or part of another person's words without documentation.
- c. stating an idea, theory, or formula as your own when it actually originated with another person.
- d. purchasing (or receiving in any other manner) a term paper or other assignment, which is the work of another person, and submitting that work as if it were one's own.

**Late Policy:**

- No credit is available for postings of any kind made in the **Threaded Discussions** after a given Unit ends.
- If your faculty approves your submission of late assignments, each assignment score will be penalized 10% per day up to five days late. After the fifth day, late assignments will not be accepted. (Note: An assignment is a paper, a project, a team presentation, etc., **not** a discussion.)
- No late assignments will be accepted after the close of the final Unit.

**EXPECTATIONS****Faculty Expectations of Students:**

- Have consistent access to a computer and possess baseline computer and information skills prior to taking online courses.
- Log into their courses within 24 hours of the beginning of the session to confirm their participation. (Students who register after the session has begun will be responsible for any assignments or material already covered.)
- Take an active role in each unit, participating fully in discussions, assignments and other activities throughout the entire session. If some event interferes with that participation, the student is responsible for notifying the instructor in advance.
- Review the course syllabus and other preliminary course materials thoroughly as early as possible during the first few days of the course.
- Be responsible for raising any questions or seeking clarification about these materials, if necessary, within the first week of the session.
- Frequently check the course calendar for due dates.
- Submit assignments and papers on time, and take tests by the posted dates. Acceptance of late work and any penalties for late submissions are up to the discretion of the instructor, based on the expectations outlined in the course syllabus.
- Contribute meaningful, timely comments to online discussions according to guidelines provided.
- Contribute substantively to group assignments (if required in course).
- Check for University announcements each time you log onto the LMS. These postings are critical.
- Use Lee email address.
- Complete the "Student Survey of Instruction" for each course to evaluate the instructor and the course.

**Students' Expectations of Faculty:**

- The opportunity to be active participants in a stimulating and challenging education that is global in scope, interactive in process and diverse in content and approach.
- A friendly, respectful, open, and encouraging learning environment.
- A course outline or syllabus that clearly provides information regarding course content, teaching methods, course objectives, grading, attendance/participation policies, due dates, and student assessment guidelines.

- Instructors who are responsive and available to discuss within 48 hours students' progress, course content, assignments, etc. at mutually convenient times from the first day of the session through the last day of the session. (Check the faculty contact information regarding weekends and holidays.)
- Individual instructor's contact information, schedules, availability, and procedural details are located within the course.
- To have access to instructor feedback and grading on projects, exams, papers, quizzes, etc., within ten (10) days of assignment due date so students are able to determine where they have made errors or need additional work.
- Final grade/feedback provided within ten (10) days after the last date of course.

## IMPORTANT STUDENT INFORMATION

### Special Needs:

Lee University, in conjunction with the Academic Support Office, works to ensure students with documented disabilities have access to educational opportunities. Students who need accommodations based on a disability should visit the Academic Support Office, call (423) 614-8181, or email [academicsupport@leeuniversity.edu](mailto:academicsupport@leeuniversity.edu). It is the student's responsibility to share the Accommodations Form with the instructor in order to initiate the accommodations.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

### Knowledge Base/Working Bibliography (Reading List):

- Barth, Karl. Church Dogmatics. Ed. Geoffrey William Bromiley and Thomas F. Torrance. Trans. A. T. Mackay and T.H. L. Parker. 31 Vols. Peabody, MA: Hendrickson, 2010.
- Clifford, Anne M. *Introducing Feminist Theology*. Maryknoll, NY: Orbis, 2001.
- Cone, James H. *A Black Theology of Liberation*. Maryknoll, NY: Orbis, 2010.
- Gutiérrez, Gustavo. *A Theology of Liberation: History, Politics, and Salvation*. Maryknoll, NY: Orbis, 1988.
- Moltmann, Jürgen. *The Crucified God: The Cross of Christ as the Foundation and Criticism of Christian Theology*. Minneapolis: Fortress, 1993.
- Moltmann, Jürgen. *The Way of Jesus Christ: Christology in Messianic Dimensions*. Minneapolis: Fortress, 1993.
- Moltmann, Jürgen. *Theology of Hope: On the Ground and the Implications of a Christian Eschatology*. Minneapolis: Fortress, 1993.
- Pannenberg, Wolfhart, Rolf Rendtorff, Trutz Rendtorff, and Ulrich Wilckens. *Revelation as History*. London: Sheed and Ward, 1969.
- Pannenberg, Wolfhart. *Systematic Theology*. 3 Vols. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1991.
- Tillich, Paul. *Systematic Theology*. 3 Vols. Chicago: University of Chicago, 1973.
- Welch, Claude. *Protestant Thought in the Nineteenth Century*. Vol. 1. Eugene, OR: Wipf & Stock, 2003.

Welch, Claude. Protestant Thought in the Nineteenth Century. Vol. 2. Eugene, OR: Wipf & Stock, 2003.