BBL311 Acts



For the Acadeum Course Sharing Consortium



We're delighted to have you here at RMC! Please note the following policies:

We try our best to ensure you get the most from the courses in which you are enrolled and welcome your feedback.

Online Courtesy

As beings created in the image of God, we all deserve to be treated with the utmost respect whether we are a facilitator or a student. Online courses give us the opportunity to encourage social interaction, relationship building and trust between participants which befits our status as image-bearers. Failure to show respect in course interchange, through emails, announcements, discussion forums or instant chat, whether in the form of racism, chauvinism or simple unkindness is ungracious and inappropriate for the health of Christian community. Where mutual respect exists, the possibility of learning is enhanced for all.

Therefore, please remember that there is a person behind every comment on the course website – when you respond, be gracious, give the benefit of the doubt and ask for clarification. Review your comments in the discussion forums for tone before submitting them – sarcasm and jokes often do not translate well in the online environment. Be sure your messages are clear – it is tempting to quickly write a response and submit it but this can lead to confusion if your thoughts are a bit jumbled – take time to polish your remarks. Remember that your comments, whether in emails or discussion forums can become public so act accordingly.

RMC Grading Policy

Grade GradePoint

A 4.0 A = Excellent - superior performance showing comprehensive understanding of subject matter. A- 3.7 B+ 3.3 B 3.0 B = Good - clearly above average performance with knowledge of the subject matter generally complete. B- 2.7 C+ 2.3 C 2.0 C = Satisfactory — basic understanding of subject matter. C- 1.7 D+ 1.3 D 1.0 D = Inferior work which falls below the expectations of the course but which is of passing quality. D- 0.7 F 0.0 F = Failure to meet the minimum standards required for a passing grade. B = Represents extinfo town work. Given only in course specially designated for Page (Feil grading).	A+	4.0						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	A	4.0	A = Excellent - superior performance showing comprehensive understanding of subject matter.					
$\begin{array}{lll} B & 3.0 & B = Good - clearly above average performance with knowledge of the subject matter generally complete. \\ B- & 2.7 & \\ C+ & 2.3 & \\ C & 2.0 & C = Satisfactory — basic understanding of subject matter. \\ C- & 1.7 & \\ D+ & 1.3 & \\ D & 1.0 & D = Inferior work which falls below the expectations of the course but which is of passing quality. \\ D- & 0.7 & \\ F & 0.0 & F = Failure to meet the minimum standards required for a passing grade. \\ \end{array}$	A-	3.7						
complete. B- 2.7 C+ 2.3 C 2.0 C = Satisfactory — basic understanding of subject matter. C- 1.7 D+ 1.3 D 1.0 D = Inferior work which falls below the expectations of the course but which is of passing quality. D- 0.7 F = Failure to meet the minimum standards required for a passing grade.	B+	3.3	3.3					
B- 2.7 C+ 2.3 C 2.0 C = Satisfactory — basic understanding of subject matter. C- 1.7 D+ 1.3 D 1.0 D = Inferior work which falls below the expectations of the course but which is of passing quality. D- 0.7 F 0.0 F = Failure to meet the minimum standards required for a passing grade.	В	3.0	B = Good - clearly above average performance with knowledge of the subject matter generally					
C+ 2.3 C 2.0 C = Satisfactory — basic understanding of subject matter. C- 1.7 D+ 1.3 D 1.0 D = Inferior work which falls below the expectations of the course but which is of passing quality. D- 0.7 F 0.0 F = Failure to meet the minimum standards required for a passing grade.	complete							
C 2.0 C = Satisfactory — basic understanding of subject matter. C- 1.7 D+ 1.3 D 1.0 D = Inferior work which falls below the expectations of the course but which is of passing quality. D- 0.7 F 0.0 F = Failure to meet the minimum standards required for a passing grade.	В–							
 C- 1.7 D+ 1.3 D 1.0 D = Inferior work which falls below the expectations of the course but which is of passing quality. D- 0.7 F = Failure to meet the minimum standards required for a passing grade. 	C+	2.3						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C	2.0	.0 C = Satisfactory — basic understanding of subject matter.					
$egin{array}{lll} D & 1.0 & D = \mbox{Inferior work which falls below the expectations of the course but which is of passing quality. \\ D- & 0.7 \\ F & 0.0 & F = \mbox{Failure to meet the minimum standards required for a passing grade.} \\ \end{array}$	C-	1.7						
D- 0.7 F 0.0 F = Failure to meet the minimum standards required for a passing grade.	D+	1.3						
F $= 0.0$ F = Failure to meet the minimum standards required for a passing grade.	D	1.0						
	D-	0.7						
D. Doss D. Domesonts satisfactomy yearly Civen only in sources angeight designated for Doss /Egil and ding	F	0.0						
P Pass P = Represents satisfactory work. Given only in courses specially designated for Pass /Fail grading.	P							
IN Incomplete	IN							
VW Voluntary Withdrawal from a course before the course withdrawal deadline.	VW	Voluntary Withdrawal from a course before the course withdrawal deadline.						
AU Audit - No grade awarded. Indicates that a minimum of 75% of classes have been attended.	AU							

Grading Scale

A+ 97-100	A 93-96	A- 89-92
B+ 85-88	B 80-84	B- 76-79
C+ 72-75	C 67-71	C- 60-66
D 55-59	F 0-54	

Plagiarism & Academic Misconduct at Rocky Mountain College

A. Plagiarism Defined

Plagiarism - involves submitting or presenting work in a course as if it were the student's own work done expressly for that particular course when, in fact, it is not. Plagiarism exists when:

- 1. the work submitted was done, in whole or in part, by an individual other than the one submitting the work.
- 2. parts of the work are taken from another source without reference to the original author,
- 3. the whole work is copied from another source, and/or
- 4. a student submits or presents work in one course which has also been submitted in another course (although it may be completely original with that student) without the knowledge of or prior agreement of the instructor involved.

While it is recognized that scholarly work often involves reference to the ideas, data and conclusions of other scholars, intellectual honesty requires that such references be explicitly and clearly noted. Plagiarism is an extremely serious academic offence.

B. Penalties

- 1. **Failing Grade** a student may be given a failing grade in either the assignment or course in which that student is found guilty of plagiarism. Except in circumstances in which leniency is warranted, this penalty will be applied in conjunction with one or other of the other penalties mentioned below.
- 2. **Disciplinary Probation** when a student is placed on disciplinary probation, he or she is entitled to proceed with their academic program. If the student is found guilty of a further academic offence the student will be suspended or expelled.
- 3. **Suspension** suspension takes place when a student is denied continuance at the college for a specified period of time. A student who has been placed under suspension is eligible to reapply for admission after the end of that specified period of time. Suspension does not imply automatic readmission; a student must satisfy the dean of his/her eligibility for readmission.
- 4. **Expulsion** a student who is expelled is dismissed permanently from the college with no right to apply for readmission.

C. Penalties and Their Application

- 1. In cases in which the dean is satisfied that a student is guilty of a clear intention to deceive, the normal penalty will be either suspension or expulsion from the college.
- 2. In cases in which the dean is satisfied that an offence has been committed, but doubt is left as to the existence of a clear intention to deceive, the normal penalty will be disciplinary probation.
- 3. In cases where a student is found guilty of more than a single offence, the normal penalty will be expulsion from the college.

Copies of the official policy regarding Plagiarism and other academic offenses can be found on the RMC website. If charged with plagiarism, it would be wise for a student to familiarize themselves with the RMC policy, "Plagiarism, Cheating & Academic Misconduct."

This policy is based on the University of Calgary policy "Plagiarism, Cheating & Academic Misconduct - 1996-97" Copyright ② 1998 University of Calgary

BBL311 Acts Rocky Mountain College Credits: 3

Course Description & Purpose

This course is an intensive study of the book of Acts. This course is designed to help students better understand the unique features of Luke's recording of the events of the early church in Acts. The study will focus upon the beginnings of the Christian church in Judea and on its mission and expansion beyond Palestine and Judaism.

Prerequisites: BBL211.

Texts

Access to a "formal equivalence" translation of the Bible: New King James, New Revised Standard Version, New American Standard Bible etc.

Access to a "dynamic equivalent" translation of the Bible: New International Version, New Living Translation etc.

Robinson, Anthony B. and Robert W. Wall. Called to be Church: The Book of Acts for a New Day. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans. 2006. ISBN: 978-0802860651

Course Objectives

By the end of this course students will be able to:

- Identify the structure, content and theological concerns of the book of Acts
- Discuss some of the theological and practical concerns that defined earliest Christianity
- Relate the book of Acts to the life and mission of the Church in today's world

Course Outline

Module	Time (hours)	Video	Textbook Reading	Assignment Due
1	10	Purpose of Acts Commentary Acts 1-3	Robinson/Wall 1-70	Acts 1-3 Quiz Acts 1-3 Essay R/W Questions
2	8	Structure of Acts Commentary Acts 4-6	Robinson/Wall 71-110	Acts 4-6 Quiz Acts 4-6 Essay R/W Questions
3	8	Narrative as Theology Commentary Acts 7-9	Robinson/Wall 111-149	Acts 7-9 Quiz Acts 7-9 Essay R/W Questions
4	3	Speeches of Acts Commentary Acts 10-12	Robinson/Wall 150-167	Acts 10-12 Quiz Discussion

5	7.5	Major Themes of Acts Commentary Acts 13-15	Robinson /Wall 168-191	Acts 13-15 Quiz Acts 13-15 Essay
		Commentary Acis 13-13	100-191	R/W Questions
6	4	Background of Acts	Robinson/Wall	Acts 16-18 Quiz
		Commentary Acts 16-18	192-227	Acts 16-18 Essay
		-		R/W Questions
7	7.5	From Exegesis to	Robinson/Wall	Acts 19-21 Quiz
		Application	228-245	Acts 19-21 Essay
		Commentary Acts 19-21		R/W Questions
8	7	Persecution and the	none	Acts 22-24 Quiz
		Spirit		Discussion
		Commentary Acts 22-24		
9	6.5	Commentary Acts 25-26	Robinson/Wall	Acts 25-26 Quiz
			246-282	Acts 25-26 Essay
				R/W Questions
10	21.5	Commentary Acts 27-28	none	Acts 27-28 Quiz
				Acts Final Paper
	Total 83			

Course Requirements

- 1. Quiz: Complete one content quiz per module, based on that module's scripture reading. 10%
- 2. Short Essay: Create and answer a question for assigned modules, based on that module's scripture reading, in a 500-600 word essay. Your question can be on any topic found in the scripture reading. For example, for module 1 you might ask "Is the gift of tongues for the church today?" The appropriate use of biblical sources outside the book of Acts, as well as other research sources will enhance your grade. Your essay should use 12 pt font and be double-spaced. Please include a bibliography and use inline citations. 35%
- 3. Study Questions/Discussion: Answer the assigned study question(s), based on the assigned textbook reading and participate in the assigned discussions noted in particular modules. Study question answers and discussions postings should show thoughtful interaction with the question; each study question answer and each initial posting for a discussion should be a minimum of 100 words. Additionally, students must respond to questions put to them in the discussions, otherwise marks will be docked. 20%
- 4. Final Paper: Choose an issue confronted by the early Church as recorded in Acts and write a research paper outlining the problem, how the early Church dealt with it, how that issue confronts the church today and how the book of Acts can serve as a guide for dealing with this issue today. Include a bibliography. (1600 words min) 35%

RMC uses APA style for all courses. Our latest style guidelines are available on our website (rockymountaincollege.ca under "Resources" – "RMC Writing Support")

Technical Competencies

Access to and basic use of a computer and Internet are required technical competencies for this course. All submitted student work must be submitted in Word document file format (.doc, .docx, or pdf). Ability to access YouTube and other video files using a *Flash* program is also needed. (Note: free installation of Flash can be readily found on the Internet)

Bibliography

- Barrett, C. K. *The Acts of the Apostles*. The International Critical Commentary. Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1994.
- Borgman, Paul. *The Way according to Luke: Hearing the Whole Story of Luke-Acts*. Grand Rapids, Eerdmans, 2006.
- Bruce, F.F. *Commentary on the Book of the Acts.* The New International Commentary on the New Testament. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1954.
- Cassidy, Richard J. Society and Politics in the Acts of the Apostles. Maryknoll, NY: Orbis Books, 1987.
- Conzelmann, Hans. *Acts of the Apostles*. Translated by James Limburg, A. Thomas Kraabel, and Donald H. Juel. Edited by Eldon Jay Epp with Christopher R. Matthews. Philadelphia: Fortress Press, 1987.
- Gasque, W. Ward. A History of the Interpretation of the Acts of the Apostles. Peabody, MA: 1989.
- Haenchen, Ernst. *The Acts of the Apostles: A Commentary*. Translated by Bernard Noble, Gerald Shinn, Hugh Anderson, and R. McL.Wilson. Philadelphia: Westminster Press, 1971.
- Hengel, Martin. Acts and the History of Earliest Christianity. Philadelphia: Fortress, 1980.
- Jackson, Frederick John Foakes, and Kirsopp Lake, eds. *The Beginnings of Christianity*. Part 1, *The Acts of the Apostles*. 5 vols. London: Macmillan & Co., 1920-33.
- Jervell, Jacob. *Luke and the People of God: A New Look at Luke-Acts*. Minneapolis: Augsburg, 1972.
- _____. *The Theology of the Acts of the Apostles.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- Juel, Donald. Luke-Acts: The Promise of History. Atlanta: John Knox Press, 1983.
- Keck, Leander E. and J. Louis Martyn, eds. *Studies in Luke-Acts*. Philadelphia: Fortress Press, 1980.
- Liefeld, Walter L. Interpreting the Book of Acts. Grand Rapids: Baker Books, 1995.
- Marshall, I. Howard. Acts (Tyndale New Testament Commentaries). Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1980
- Neyrey, Jerome H. *The Social World of Luke-Acts: Models for Interpretation*. Peabody, MA: Hendrickson, 1991.
- Pao, David W. Acts and the Isaianic New Exodus. Grand Rapids: Baker, 2002.
- Powell, Mark Allan. What Are They Saying About Acts? New York: Paulist Press, 1991.
- Shillington, V. George. *An Introduction to the Study of Luke-Acts*. New York: T & T Clark, 2007.
- Stein, Robert H. *Luke: An Exegetical and Theological Exposition of Holy Scripture*. Nashville: Broadman Press. 1992.
- Tannehill, Robert C. *The Narrative Unity of Luke-Acts: A Literary Interpretation. Vol. 2, The Acts of the Apostles.* Minneapolis: Fortress, 1990.

- Tiede, David L. Prophecy and History in Luke-Acts. Philadelphia: Fortress, 1980.
- Thompson, Richard P. and Thomas E. Philips, eds. *Literary Studies in Luke-Acts: Essays in Honor of Joseph B. Tyson*. Macon, GA: Mercer University Press, 1998.
- Tyson, Joseph B., ed. *Luke-Acts and the Jewish People: Eight Critical Perspectives*. Minneapolis: Augsburg, 1988.
- Witherington, *The Acts of the Apostles: A Socio-Rhetorical Commentary*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1998.